Dear Herb,

Because I am aware of what time pressures, emotions and excitement can do to the most level-headed and most competent report, please understand that I am not making an accusation or anything like that. I don't for a minute think that any mastimess was the intent or deliberate.

At the end of today's dramatic Alch testimony, Carl Stern, after making some comment about Bud Fensterwald's interest in political assassinations, said something to the effect that it was because of this interest and nothing else that Bud had come to public attention in Washington and that only recently. These are not his exact words, but it is the sense I took from them.

It is not an accurate representation of a lawyer who, before going into private practise, was on the staffs of Tom Hennings and Estes Kefauver. Even less does it reflect the career of the counsel for the Senate conmittee from which the Freedom of Informationllaw issued. Maybe Carl is unaware of it, but Bud was causel for the Senate investigation of wire-tapping. And there he was so little a party-lining Democrat that he questioned Bobby Kennedy when no member of the committee had the courage.

Is not Bud better known as James Earl Ray's counsel? Lest you assume that this is connected with his committee, I arranged that, and I am not a member of his committee, nor have I ever been. He has the Ray case without fee, as he is handling the FOI case for me of which you know. It is, with him, a matter of principle, and his interest in freedom of information preceeds the organization of his committee, by more than two years to my knowledge. In the Ray case and with me, he is not getting his costs back and in my FOI case, he paid all the costs of appeal.

Or, without intending it, NBC slandered a man who puts his money where his mouth is (principles are).

In this case, you appear also to have been conned by Alch's testimony in more than the two cases I cite. He denied that of which McCord did not accuse him, for McCord did not say that he would fake McCord's CIA records. I think a proper question is why did Alch so grossly misstate the issue. I can understand how a competent reporter, who can't follow complexities like these, can fail to catch them, but I can't conceive innocence in the gross misrepresentation by Alch. Nor can I conceive of Bud's saying what Alch said he was quoting directly, that Bud would be out to get Mixon. I can easily understand that Bud would give as his opinion following a certain line in defense of McCord would, if it succeeded, have this effect. Examine what has happened since McCord wrote Sirica if you want validation of the opinion.

I write just before your evening net news, so I don't know if anyone will catch these things. As of this moment, being suckered by Alch has just done more to exculpate Nixon than any one thing to date, and it is not factual. It is, in effect, a successful propaganda ploy that was in no sense necessary to Alch's purposes, to say McCord did not fairly represent his relations with Alch. I wonder why?

Toward the end of March, in your absence I loaned one of your associates some material on E. Howard Hunt. He was to seturn it in a few days. I then wrote you about this, without answer. I was in Washington on the 15th. In your absence I left a message. It would take time and money I do not have to replace these things. I would appreciate getting them.

Jim, I guess morean incurries are not for me. So save thus I used cone corpor sots I have and put their in the heater wold to the light and its reminded so of my limited, where they, rotten thinks! I pade chore **startion four** of a construct and an of my limited, gravitous appendance at a the for which i can that no in const, sathefue or of my limited, an ell heaps appendance in all for which i can that is to be a remark and of a production. All heaps because he substant is parenth to foughting the in-

• SL/12/6

Content provide

comparison of a comparison of a set there there there are an interaction and the first and another and an interaction of a contract of the set of the set

ല് ഉപ്പെട്ടെ നില് അവിപൂഴ്ചും നേളും ഉപ്പോലെ സ്വേഡിക്കുന്നും. നില്ലാം പിന്നും പിന്നും നില്ലാം എന്നും നിന്നും ഇന്ന പ്രതം പ്രിന്നും അതുവായെ തിന്നും പ്രിന്നും പ്രിന്നുക്ക് അതുമായിലെങ്കാൻ വിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും ഇന്നും നി ലില്ലെന്റ്റെന്നും അതിവായെ തിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നുക്ക് അതുമായിലെങ്കാൻ വിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്ന പ്രത്തിനെ നിന്നും അതിവായെ തിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും അതിവിനും തിരുക്ക് നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്ന പ്രത്തിനെ നിന്നും അതിവായെ തിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും അതുമായിന്നും തിന്നും നിന്നും തായെന്നും അതുവായം, നിന്നും നിന്നും പ്രത്തിനെ നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നോം നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും തിന്നും പ്രാനം പ്രിന്നും തായെന്നും അതുവായും നിന്നും നിന്നും പ്രത്തിനെ തിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും പ്രാനേയം പ്രാനം പ്രിന്നും അതിന്റെ തലനും നിന്നും തായതും

to control we write a construction of the state of a larger who, billor going into a second of a control of a control of a larger of the control of a control of

ja (zastro o storo o secondo e e entre esta e com esta estas partas partas constructores e entre constructores Parte e estas o estas estas entre estas entre conserva e com estas partas partas conserva estas constructores e

un teo kato, structure et conservation de los commento kinhi tracture, for composite de los conservationes et conservation de los commento kinhi tracture for conservationes de los secondo conservationes de los conservationes en conservationes de los de los de los de los secondo conservationes de los conservationes en conservationes de los de los de los de los secondo conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de de los secondo conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de de los secondos de los de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los de los de los de los de los de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los conservationes de los de

രംഗപുർ പ്രീഷം അമർ ഈ പെറുന്ന പിന്നും നിന്നും നിന്നും നിരുള്ളവും പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം അതി പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം പുംതാനുമാന് പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം അതി പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം പുംതാനുമാനും പ്രവിത്തിന്റെ പ്രേഷം പ്രേയം അപോരം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം പോര്ഗം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം പുംതാനുമാനും പ്രംഗം പ്രേഷം പ്രേയം പ്രേഷം പ്രംഗം പ്രംഗം

ేతగాడి జేతి ఒక లా చెరికించి. ఈ కాళ్లింటుకు కాలాలుకారి చెరికు క్రము క్రక్షు ఓ కిలక్రీడ్రికి ఓ కిలకు జాలికం గ్రాము కారికారి ఓ కారాడు చెరికింటి కాల్లో ట్రిక్, కం సిరికారి చెందిన కిలకు ఓ ఇంటి 10 కారుకు క్రముక్సికు, జిక్టారు కారికారి ఓ కారాడు చెరికు కారాడు కాల్లో క్రిక్, కం సిరికారు చెందుకుంటే ఇంటి 10 కారుకు క్రముక్సికు కం

Jim, 1 guess modern luxuries are not for me. To save time I used some carbon sets I have and put them in backwards. Hold to the light and you can read. Poor Bud! What a dirty, rotten thing! I paid close attention to Alch and it reminded me of my limited, previous experience with him for which I can find no innocent, satisfactory explanation. Lil keeps saying he was disbarred in N.J. and there had to be a reason. I haven't send Bud a carbon because he enjoys his paranoia too much as it is.