Iudge Hoffman Outlines Role In Agnew Plea

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writers

The judge in the Spiro T. agnew tax-evasion case adnitted yesterday that he gave trong signals that Agnew vould escape imprisonment if a pleaded no contest and reigned as Vice President.

U.S. District Court Judge Valter Hoffman, describing in etail secret plea bargaining, old reporters "I'm sure the awyers realized" there would in no prison sentence if the lea were entered. "They would have been obviously ignorant if they hadn't," the udge said.

Although Hoffman has disussed aspects of the October, 973, case in open court and vith newsmen, he gave his irst public response to critiism that he had crossed the ine between the judicial and xecutive branches and took oo prominent a role in the prosecution-defense negotiaions.

Hoffman acknowledged that a judge should not get involved" during plea bargainng, but defended his role in the Agnew case as in the national interest. And he emphasized that he made no formal ommitment to the defense.

The judge, former chief udge of the U.S. District Court in Norfolk, handled the agnew case on assignment to he Baltimore federal court, where the former Vice Presilent pleaded no contest Oct. 10, 1973, to a tax-evasion charge and was fined \$10,000. Agnew resigned his office at he same time.

Hoffman, 68, met with reporters to discuss the program f the federal judiciary's research arm, the Federal Judicial Center, of which he is director. He said he hoped to pen up new lines of communication between the judiciary

nd the press after a period of lostility.

Hoffman also answered questions about subpoenas he ad issued to several news oranizations, including The Washington Post, at the beest of Agnew's defense lawers. The subpoenas were for eporters' testimony about the ource of reports on the Jusice Department's investigation of Agnew.

The news organizations and ndividuals were resisting the ubpoenas, and some of them rere prepared to risk conmpt citations and jail sennces when Agnew entered is plea—a surprise because he judge had convened his ourt ostensibly to deal with he subpoena issue. Instead, we concluded the plea bargaining that had been in progress he previous weekend.



JUDGE WALTER HOFFMAN
... defends role

Judge Hoffman recalled that "pounds of briefs were filed" by the news organizations contending that the summoning of reporters was an invasion of their First Amendment rights. "I haven't read the first one yet," he said, adding, "I know your owners spent fourtunes."

Ordinarily, federal judges stay clear of plea-bargaining negotiations. But noting the saying that a Vice President is "only a heartbeat away" from the presidency, Hoffman said, "You know and I know that the thing of prime importance was the resignation."

Although the Agnew plea bargaining was unique because of the office he held and the political stakes involved, the controversy it stirred was another example of increasing public debate over the technique of negotiating over a matter of criminal justice.

Defenders say the legal system would bog down completely unless most defendants entered pleas, but critics say the process is too secretive and produces wide variations in punishment.

Agnew balked initially at entering a plea because of the uncertainty about a possible prison sentence. In meetings with both sides, first at an Alexandria motel and later at the Justice Department, Hoffman said, he told then-Attorney General Elliot L. Richardson "that I was going to put him in jail unless he made a strong recommendation for leniency."

Asked about the propriety of meeting elsewhere than at court, Hoffman said he was trying to keep the negotiations confidential.

He said it never crossed his mind to delay approval of the plea bargain to let the public know it was before him. "What in the world else did I have to do," he asked, "get the benefit of advice from you gentlemen?"