

JDW- I'll be sending 3 cl an extra carbon of an off-the-top affidavit "il retyped and I sent to JL via the CBS researcher (King case) who spent the day here. He'll file it and the second, which reached me today, together (same return courier). It was necessary to address what the lawyer cannot in a motion prior to the hearing. There was no time. I had only a very little time to think last night (having learned about 6) when I started writing it without time to organize it. No time this a.m. for re-organization. I read and made a few corrections and Lil started typing. The judge is not going to enjoy reading this much of what he doesn't want but what he wants is not my concern. What he'll have to contend with is. And whether or not like it makes a record. I don't think the FBI is often challenged this way or directly. Meanwhile, I wanted more direct contradiction because under the federal rules of civil procedure that requires a hearing. He can opt for discovery but he may prefer either a hearing or passing the buck upward. I think with this in the record any failure to hold a hearing or a summary dismissal he errs. Partial explanation, anyway. And one hell of a way to try a lawsuit! Hastily, HW 7/1/75

A stamp fell off an envelope. It was returned for postage yesterday and went out today. No idea how long ago mailed. You'll recognize it by the part of the word "postage" not covered by the second stamp.

Thanks for the Pacifica tape and the enclosed clips. I read them while JUL 8 1975  
awaiting a delayed callback while four of the students I'm trying to get to work together relaxed. The tape is just long enough to listen to when we go to friends for dinner day after tomorrow. Till then much work on the suit...More craziness by the Father of the Rockefeller Whitewash. Gregory testified today before the Church people on the King assassination, on which he knows nothing but can repeat some of the worthless and bad stuff kicking around. Thanks, HW 7/3/75

JUL 8 1975