

OCT 18 1974

Seems like the Memphis federal judge has limited the State to what does not exist in its new "discovery" motion, that the State has withdrawn most (picture of them demanding my personal relations with my lawyers in entirely unrelated matters?) and that they have abandoned their effort to depote JER.

Who says it doesn't pay to fight back with vigor (not you, of course-rhetorical)?

Who (again not you) says it does not pay to by-pass the stereotypes?


He did not order his old friend the District Attorney General to show cause why he should not be held in contempt, but he did order him to comply with the order he violated. By an off coincidence I gave Bud added proof this past Sunday of added violations of the order, a transcript of Cahale making an improper and public speech using what was withheld from us. And with his heart-attached Chief Criminal Investigator showing the slides that were withheld.

I'm taking the tape with me!

So you'll know how bad the situation is when Lesar and I don't do the work-all of it- I had to tell Bud's partner today, three days after I'd told them the precedent they agree is pertinent, that the case is U.S. v. Russen, not Ellsberg.

They hadn't drafted the order on surveillance, so I'm taking proof of that. And I was promised late this p.m. that the motion would be in Bud's bag.

If they don't chicken, we'll make a little news and establish a little law day after tomorrow, in spite of the senior lawyers.


m ope,
HW 10/15/74