

Howard (Js), with a small gloat: Rhoads has reacted to my letter of a month and a half ago to Jane Smith by giving me another paper I didn't have, the first draft of the Naval four's "report" of 11/1/66 and a letter I'll have to go over, more carefully but I think holds some promise of opportunities to be picked up. I haven't gone over any of it with care but will when I can concentrate. Meanwhile, I think this justifies my suggestion that at least for the time being you remain silent, letting them help us build a record. If you come down on the Easter recess, we can go over all of this together. HW 3/13/73

MAR 13 1973
89

I think there is significance in the Nixonian changes in CIA, Colby, Graham, Sllen, etc. It is as rumored, part of a conversion of the agency into a vehicle for saying what GL wants said. Of course, also doing. It also marks the rewarding of error and the marking of wrong into right by rewarding, elevating and giving power to the wrong. More wrong, unchanging, perhaps. It is also an increase in the power and influence of the military and the military mind. I do not anticipate an end to dirty tricks under Colby -or anyone else. Pentagon Papers vengeance? Ref. Hersch, NYTimes 3/1/73 HW 3/13/73

MAR 13 1973
89