

JUL 6 1973

Dear Js, Your welcome mailing of the 3rd came this a.m. I've skimmed the heads on the stories, all of which look interesting. I'll read twixtween. Glad to get the Fredd tape. Give me an idea. I have the old machine the Peebs ruined in 1968, with fresh batteries. t always has played back well. Think I'll listen as I go for and return with Lil when I don't have to stop and shop, as this p.m...Je's little perceptive notes putting things together are exceedingly helpful. 6/29, Hunt and Dita. Likewise your 7/2 on the NYTimes issues with the CIA memos. I think I have but with the breaking-down of the files (bought the third 100 folders in three weeks this a.m.!) there is generally a place for such reminders, already filed this case....Drury: there is nothing to support her word and I am without doubt that she has emotional problems. However, there is such precise consistency to her story, she cites names with complete accuracy, including code names, and the essence of what she says is so much in accord with what is known, I'm inclined to credit the story in general, if there is nothing I can do about it. Some of the earlier conversations go into Indonesia and early VN with the most intimate details, including names... Je's 7/2 re Ervin staff memo ref NYT 6/21, "Hunt would only talk to Colson about Hunt's future and ex. clemency" and your inability to recall Dean saying this. He did, in a different way. Don't recall reading but positive on hearing. I'm getting his letter, as I think I noted last (tired) night. It was in the context of or in connection with a threat against Colson and his "sleazy" operations....The encroachment in Jim's 7/2 is well timed. This is a depressing a.m., from frustrations. With all the time pressures, I had to begin the day by going over what I've spent hours writing the lawyers in the past, and there is no doubt that if I fail the FOI law is dead. It is not just winning, not just the unprecedented opportunities and the most wonderful context, all of WG....The I look outside and see the acres of grass up to a foot high and three inoperative mowers! So, I'm glad you like the intelligence-analyst's approach. I'm including that in the foreword, to which I'd hope to return this a.m. but can't because I've decided I have to phone Jim about the hearing, this being Friday. I'll have to get in a better mood, too...If you haven't gotten Szulc piece, which I did send, I'll copy and send. I've two more extras of Penthouse-O'Toole now....My view on Colson is that with which you conclude, and it is in this sense that I said he could XX GL. He is a "congenital doublecrosser" who would "save his own skin". For that even GL could go. He has been shafting Ehr and Haldeman. But he'll stick with GL until the bitterest end. What Freed is saying can be simplified: Colson is where the money is, secondarily where Authoritarianism is (meaning even more money to him)...If you feel at all awkward about doing what follows, don't. Background: even Ed Williams is a little uneasy about P.D.Scott and WG and assassinations. When Scott was here he made ref. to GL's representation of Cubans, I think CDM. Not until later, following a suggestion from Lesar, did I carry a different line of thinking forward, helped by Howard. I don't know Scott's address. Yesterday I gave Lesar the letter to forward. That will take time. I'd appreciate it if he could send me that about this which he offered when he was here. For you, not him, the rest: Nixon was Ike's executive officer on Bay Pigs. Hunt was CIA's top on it. The possibilities of their having met, of GL's knowing Hunt's plot to assassinate, are rather good. This makes GL party to assassination and gives him advance knowledge of his chief personal spook and the career that should have disqualified him for any position of trust. I can't remember where I saw Nixon's Bay Pigs role and wish I could, for I'll have to read an enormous amount to find it. Do you recall? I have sent you some citations, as JFK debate Bay Pigs. I think it will be a powerful, if not definitive, part...Nader just won a suit in which the judge cited my CA decision. He will appear in court Wednesday. There is no time now for an amicus brief but then this goes to the Supreme Court, will file one there. HW 7/6/73