

2 July 1973

DeAR Harold:

Just a note, in assembling the enclosed, to say we are much taken with your approach in handling the Watergate story from the standpoint of an intelligence analyst. Likely unique and certainly interesting and different. It provides a very fresh entree and one particularly well suited to the subject.

Only other thingk I can think of to mention here is that we haven't yet seen that piece from the Sunday Times by Tad Szulc on Hunt. If you can lend it for copying, we'd appreciate.

In reading over your recent letters (mailings of June 16, 21 and 22, I note you mention somewhere that in some statement or other the possibility that Colson ~~xxxxxxx~~ could be out to doublecross the GL. Interestingly enough, this ties in with Freed's remarkably positive statement that Colson is a double agent, really serving the Eastern Establishment and not the parvenus who rallied around behind Nixon like the oil and aerospace interests commonly referred to as ~~as~~ the Cowboys. And it also parallels my early suggestion (which you never bought, and I don't blame you) that Watergate was so incredibly bungled that it almost HAD to have been planned to ruin the GL. At the moment, my main feeling about ~~Colson~~ Colson is that he is a congenital doublecrosser and would need no other or more profound reason than to save his own skin. We'll see.

Best,  jdw