

Agnew leaks over which GL waxed so indignant: CBS radio, Chicago, before 5 a.m. 8/24/73 quoted unidentified DJ sources as saying the likely source is not DJ but WH. Not on CBS net news 5 a.m. Putting together with last night's reports that may or may not be in papers, what has been leaked is inaccurate, according to the unnamed sources then quoted, and the implication is that the leaks came from second or third-hand sources, or not from inside DJ. The implication is that not those inside the WH who were kept informed but those to whom they spoke did the leaking or caused it. To guess at names. not Buzhardt or Grament but someone they had told or who had been told by one they had told. Carrying this a bit further in conjecturing, I would not imagine the lawyers would be advertising this. There are not too many other than GL and perhaps Haig they'd ordinarily tell. Maybe Ziegler, Warren. ... Those noble words in defense, if that is what it was, of Agnew by GL in SanC press conf., where he said I'm glad you asked that question, are words he could have spoken voluntarily, without being so glad of the opportunity or the question. ...

X Note about extra copy transcript press conference if you have without copying: I will want to cut it up to save the typing and the errors I ordinarily make, which would require proofing. My plans may change, but I intend to use this one as an illustration of what GL goes and how he does it, the juxtaposition with his in-court plea of the same day, that he has a Constitutional license to kill, I think helping a bit. I'll want to bracket with what Mitchell, Kleindienst, Peterson, Gray, Haldeman, Ehrlichman and GL himself said. And note that none of the media did this, which it would seem obvious should be done. HW 8/24/73

AUG 24 1973