10/29 Sorry, I goofed. CBS pre-empted its Sunday night election program with "What Price Peace", an hour-long special on the current sit. Thinking there might be on it what you could use, I taped. Or thought I was. When I got up at the half-hour to be able to turn OCT 3 1 19 cassette I discovered that the damned thing had hung about five minutes into the show, which was partisan and otherwise poor journalism. However, it did have filmed interviews 23 that might interest you, the irreconcilable WWRostow, Gen. Taylor, a Newsweek correspondent who had interviewed both sides in VN , Thieu just yesterday, Harriman, so@called "neutralists" and others. Their own Hart says it may not be what it is being made to seem to be...Not having been able to follow, don't know precise provisions. One thing, given our past misuse of cease-fires to reinforce, is the possibility of replacing all the destroyed and damaged equipment under the "replacement" provision. Der "einrich stumbled several times on that one. (On him, the Post today had a magazine review including comments from one on him that Lil marked. I have it in the next 3class.)... I don't think it is possible for a TV net to have been more pro-Nixon in what they aired ... The unanimity provision for the National Council would seem to make ti possible for 'hieu to sabotage. Rostow seems to say that should this happen we should go in and help him with force again...Seems to me it was long before 10/8 that the north said it would not insist on hieu's departure, thus the alleged major concession seems like something less except for the flackery. And they must also realize that , hieu can stall. So, they must have something in mind besides their right to veto. There was an inference in the Newsweek guy's comment that more than the damage from the bombing the changed situation is compilision and that they are getting pressure from hina, USSR. HE