

AUG 13 1972

Je's 1972-3 annotatoon of Who's Who (vy welcome) does all my thinking for me. I would add that he has to have had a Mullen connection prior to admitting it, that his fake address with Littauer & Wilk was at the same bldg in which ~~Hunt~~^{Mullen} had a service that included phone and mail. Doesn't rule out other front in that bldg, but the coincidence is too clear. Add Mullen's admitted CIA work, probable doge to get away with considerable understatement of it. Now from Schlessinger's account (1000 Day) as I recall it, no Hunt-thinking man wrote the statements for the CIA's Cuban front at the time of the Bay of Pigs. But I wish I could remember the name of the agency Sch. says was used, a NY agency, to start a check to see if Mullen-related. The kind of captivity of the front leaders Sch describes is consistent with the Hunt mentality. Yo know, the Opa Lotka bit. None of the papers have reported him as more than a hired hand at Mullen, so this bio adds to his position there and probably accounts for the fact that despite the pretended firing he is still using a mail and phone service Mullen uses and his is lumped with theirs. That phone, by the way, is 293-2500, the address 1835 K St., NW, a newere subsidiary office of the Washington Bldg. service. Closer to the Mullen office and an area in which many new office bldgs have sprouted. This service goes back to 1955, which just happens to coincide with Hunt's first use of the Wash Bldg, L&W address. Candy also is part of the same service, which is not surprising because, although a General Foods man, he was officed there. If there was an active tap on my phone, there may be some interesting repercussions, for I got this by phone after earlier inquiry. Problem is all operating personnel new except one and office people don't handle details of operations, instead just running business. Old friend there and hunch paid off. Thus I knew that Mullen had PBX service not his own, with no receptionist in his own suite of offices, rather unusual for any p.r. outfit and suggesting few clients and almost none expected to walk in. Hunt's role can't possibly have been that of active v.p or director of normal, ongoing p,r, operation. They may have a few orthodox clients (Mullen had the Mormon Tabernacle Singers and did a book on them), but this is too unlikely a setup for a vigorous pr office. Suggests, for example, only outeof-town clients and those big-paying and few, for whom atypical services only are rendered. I think a check of old Republican political expenses under Corrupt Practises Act indicated. But I can't make and now don't know anyone in DC who'll take time. Many thanks, HW