Dear Harold:

The enclosed brings you up to date with what we've been able to collect here. The big exception is the Bremer trial, which I've not copied because of its volume and the probability that it was more thoroughly reported there than elsewhere. If, for instance, you need the NYTimes treatment on it, pls say so. Local papers and the S-I all used AP copy mostly. We note you have ordered the text of Bremer's diary. Please let us know if it appears worthhile. Impossible to say from the few excepts carried by the AP and the NYT.

Also enclosed here are some things you wished returned from your 3rd class D mailing, which contained the two TV programs with returned on Eagleton. Agree he stood up remarkably well. McG just let himself be pushed out of shape on this and we cannot excape the feeling it will cost him. However we do agree with You and Lil that Shriver is the best possible substitute under the circumstances. Your letter to Shriver, by the way, is most impressive, and it will be interesting to see how he responds, if he does.

Re the Scientology-Process memo, we don't think we have any foreseeable interest, but of course one never knows. It is entirely foreign to us, except for a prior reference you once made to it. If we may, we'll rely on you to let us know if anything turns up.

Among other things in your 21 and 22 was a proposal that Martha was just doing what came naturally and that the flacks seized upon it to use in the general foofarah they generated trying to obscure the real nature of the Watergate caper. We entirely agree, and any impression we may have conveyed to the .contrary was simply a matter of not being clear.

Re the WC, suggest you read carefully the long AP feature enclosed, by Dick Barnes. It contains a few crumbs that are new to us, and might be to you. We had not realized, for instance, that Hunt had appeared before the grand jury.

This is a short weekend, with only one day off, so I shall have to break this off.

Best from us both,

idw