

OCT 9 1971

*Confidential*

10/6/71

Dear Cyril, Wacht

As was my intent to get an early start this morning, so I could talk you about interruption as at mid-length, but yesterday I delayed our whatever it is that has caused my lesser-known trouble since last night at 4 and 5 a.m. I felt I'd best stay in bed because a rough day lies ahead. You do not know it, but we have established one of the basic principles of ecological law relating to noise. From what our enemies and such stout-hearted and loyal friends as Rock and I have written, you'd not know it. A second suit, much like principle already established in the first, has been stalled for years. For the past two years the government has been stalling an agreed-to promise of good-faith, out-of-court negotiation leading to a honorable settlement. I have had to keep pressure on them. I think the judge is wise, too, and they have finally promised to begin the negotiations - Attorney in the part from mid-morning early this morning, to begin the day before working on this.

From this explanation, you should be able to understand the lengths to which and by the means of this agent, even if he were my own political interest, he can work, just like Rollins; and the cost need be no more than what I do in law as usual to him.

There should be another explanation of his actions. I do not know exactly "criticize" as a less unprofessionally appearing or delicate subjection. This is a legitimate criticism. My point is to reduce - loose my cool, but here it is to deliberate, for sometimes I feel extraction and prooffulness is required, not subtlety.

The main group of us who say we seek the truth about the assassination have some, individually, the really never calling themselves in their minds are in some respects strange to most people in varying degrees, don't we have some common characteristics, and, again varying, with the individuals, how are manifested in different ways. Some of us are outright nuts. Others, rational or unwilling else, are on their subject ignorant.

Now are genuine persons. There are those who are ignorant, their brains in every other aspect of their lives but one are as straight as a vertical column. I am the figure not by accident, but I have in mind also the usual consequences of the dispositions and attitudes of trust I have in mind. Without giving you any names, for my purpose is illustration, not examination, I'll give you two illustrations.

After the release of George Washington from one of the more quiet of us, who used to obtain absolute proof of the extraction of some of the more dubious evidence, another one day, and I trusted him to whom I told this, said he could be certain things that might advance my knowledge. I told him that, as I was in prison, I wanted this information to go no further, but it was to be alone in at least one instance. He agreed. And he was sure, having asked to copy some of my pictures, I had agreed not publish this issue in my office, having, this where the thought to himself said, it won't be long before he has showing signs of fits, as had expect have to come of the most irresponsible of us, including one certified with me - including, this being he had promised to keep confidential. So you know there is now any prospect of carrying this further?

Several of us had been working, very quietly, on another extremely delicate element of suppressed evidence having to do with a possible would intelligence connection prior to the assassination. There is one of us who has connections in a distant city, and I have no funds for travel, as you know. I asked this "fellow" if he could keep no secret, in deepest secrecy, and if he could help me carry this forward, would be pleased to make no use, mention or distribution of what I would tell him. He agreed. All I asked of him, and I was quite explicit in this, is that he use his connections in that city to get for me the contents of the newspaper records on a certain issue. In fact, so little intention of keeping this word that he didn't even remember giving it, and when time later he showed me a copy of a report came to him by the incompetent and uninformed man he had actually sent to interview the man in question. His agent hadn't the slightest idea of what he was into, naturally, he got no information from the suspect, and another of the more promising and important elements of a full investigation is blown forever. These are not the only such cases I can cite.

While in each of these cases a lack of personal integrity is flagrant, I assure you the intent was not evil if the results were, thus in such cases the ambition used to develop truth. & it is neither case could it have, as an intelligent child should have known, nor what dominated, what caused the foolish decisions, was personal ambition. That inevitably tends us now to get the great credit of being, the one who solved the crime. This, of course, is something we all want, - on the nuts, and it is a wretched thing. For is there anything wrong in desiring credit for it. I recent went in so often stolen from me simply because it is easier, not because it hurts me. - And when it is hurtful to me it is to work, but even when it is not, - tell you quite frankly I resent it. On the other hand, there are few things I am so devoted to that I would not ~~say~~ if a large were ready to ask for it, there is no single thing I say. That I have re-planted in other hands, and there is nothing I have that I do not share under a trust. You will know that I have been after you for three years for you to come here and see what + leave in the field of your expertise.

Now of we have established as far as using the open book way, well - I am sure all about which means they are right. Because I really can't afford to have checks, - the reason I had given to people was an old return time. It's too easy to take the time off, make a record of work + leave, too busy to remember all of it, in the next year, however broken and a little bit lost, usually + can't remember who got it or how long it took. At the same time as a measure of my productivity, you can also put a reading of the integrity of money in use.

As all as things we should do, and not necessarily free. Be insurance. I am one of my more serious aims is trusting too many people. Another is writing, first that - could use for promotional purposes helping others who could not or trying to avoid potential disasters, as with Mr. Nichols I spent over two years trying to straighten him out of it he filled his house with what he could have known to be waste out of the books + never recognized + d is ready for the writing. There are quite a few of them. Creative little commercial prospectus and can not serve to name and leave a record. Others have great promise. But - an of service purpose one have to prioritize own priorities. Ted Chapman told me in 1967 when I described one of the to him that if I did it immediately in his opinion it could sell 40,000 copies in hardware. No book is a fantastic sale, and his field before Congress was publishing law, but I did not write that book, despite my urgent need for money for living and to make it a more easier to accomplish simply because at that time I thought other factors more important.

Now then I wish you thoughts of the thing in which I should so obviously be a fool, because I think writing you is more important than money. When you stay at home as I have to be a for so many years, when you once adjust to poverty and come to realize that it will probably be a permanent fate, it is like the numbness of excessive cold, not money, doesn't the urgent need for it, doesn't have the value it has to those who have an ample supply of it. At this point, and this is the truth, not dramatic effect, - was run down to just the vice-president of my bank to see him tonight about the payment on the principle on my indebtedness for today, we - won't have it. So those as you who enjoy a good life, that may seem incomprehensible, but I have adjusted to it by the way of least pain, unless none of us do nothing, we are a lonely number and the sum is of considerable (for us) size, say us, we will, quite literally, be penniless. Of course, this leaves up a life. It has been reduced to my wife, whose health by the way has been deteriorating for about two months now. I don't know to tell you the unavoidable consequence of such nervous torment as she suffers from the kind of life we lead as of licetum, but I have adjusted to this kind of existence, and I am afraid that psychologists might consider that an abnormality.

Now I know I have not adjusted to me. This, I'll never be able to. Is the idea of so many rooms to a single soul by those of the best intention who do not realize what they are or may be doing. The one held down by the government was us, too easy. We should now be paying more of them, but we are, as from the beginning at almost all times some of us have been.

As you know, because of the regard and trust I have for you as because of your re-entrant qualifications in your specialty, I have tried to keep you informed of what I have developed that should interest you. I have had no secrets from you as here. In fact, regularly encouraged you to come over so you could study all of it. A some cause I have sent you sample. You have never had time. Then I asked that you be an expert witness for me in court, you also had no time for that. This I could understand, for I know you are busy and I recognize that one who spends the hours working each day that I do certainly, at the very least, deserves his life, and that such thing he does is at the cost of something he does not do. At what can perplexes me for now is that you have not kept the one problem you have given me, one so easy for you to keep. You agreed to have your criminalist know for me from a standard test dependable description of the capabilities and limitations of spectrographic and neutron activation tests. And each time I remind you I get a letter back, or we meet our witness I am as how fortunate the criminal community is that I have been willing to apply myself to this work. I have as much ego as the next, but I have not as a finding for ambitions. I get enough of them without self-delusion from attorneys who write to tell me I am not without some opportunity, but your unqualified opinion did not file my need to have a dependable indication of this technical knowledge I wanted. I have just whence the file. It is four weeks ago today that I reminded you of this. I have had no reply. And I have completed that part of my writing. If there is error in what was on the most significant work to date, I'll share it with you. No treasury is that I can't share credit for nothing; good with you, for you have remained steadfast and willing to learn and what I think you do not know is what at this moment trouble is met.

Almost everyone I know has told me that when the adversary of the Kennedy family will not come, until the fifth year has expired, you are proceeding to get witness to the pictures and things. I can understand this. I began the effort the first of November, 1960, officially, and earlier unofficially. I am the first person turned down, both officially and, I think, unofficially. What I can't understand is that you would keep this secret from so long. At least I don't understand in any way I'd like to. I have heard it from those not really worthy of trust. To, now, much to learn about this. I hope it cannot last. If you think the implementation it has to do.

When I sought to have copies of pictures with clothing made for me, I had Marcelli's agent. Not a private, let want to court to refine it. You can take this as a reading of Marcelli, or the government's intent, or both. But it tells you one thing that the government does what serves its interests, not that of the Kennedy family or ours or ours of truth, which it does not want established.

On yourself say, has it been possible to bring pictures, the government, even with Marcelli having no objection, would not give me pictures of the clothing? And that?

With but a single exception I can recall, I have had a refused everything that to the government could have significant evidentiary value that was admissible and that I asked for. I have obtained by mind-tutored memory of many things for which I can use, including the pictures and x-rays. Unless you have other that of which I do not know, I think also that I have done all the basic work on them. As in Lemar, who has been privy to what I have down, told a reporter here two days ago, in such case where I had been partial, for unprepared evidence, where the government could, it included relevant crap in the 1970 classification. Even when they were refusing as they were declassifying what they were releasing. In some cases it seems that the declassification preceded the rejection. Fortunately, none of the bureaucrats I approached, and I did get some significant new information even after being refused.

I began writing and to represent me in a suit for the pictures and always before he left the Senate, that long ago. Since came a time when he agreed. I spent most of two months very hard, copies of my correspondence and related materials so as could file. Then he changed his mind, wanting all this time for me and what for me is a great cost in a printing paper for no machine. Then he changed his mind again and said he would and encouraged me to. He went far away, and said the formula I had worked out for the suit is by far the best and one for which there is ample legal precedent, which I know. For that and the reason I am still

Opposed. I could also at any time have filed for myself.  
But I didn't do either. This came.

I would encourage you to ponder the philosophy of Neclundantes, that there is a time and a place for everything. As my knowledge has undertaken you, I came to realize that one of the worst things we can do at this juncture is to press for the pictures and Araya. This is one of the reasons I filed, for example, for the pictures of the clothing and not for those you seek to see and not for the destruction of it further. I could never very much not to help our enemies, and where I see the possibility, I do avoid it. I could have filed for the pictures and Araya & say the Freedom of Information Law became ineffective. But it was possible for me without acting as my own lawyer, I such would have. But as I have developed the accumulated more evidence and with it more understanding, it became increasingly clear to me that of all the things we can today do, this may well be the most nonproductive. And it has gone a political understanding I thank you lack. On a day I worked in a political environment, where I cast my first vote I held a responsible position with the United States Senate. As a reporter and correspondent I also acquired some functional understanding. This was augmented by many other experiences, including my service in intelligence, both for our government and, before we entered World War II, as an unpaid agent for a friendly power, England. This is a part of my past few years. Although I was not registered, it also was not unusual, for a part of the Department of Justice, to point of people not on the plan or today deal with, asked us to do it, to do what they could not do if not.

Each case has real dimensions & they are rare, I have an idea to an open-and-shut case as they can be, yet + refuse to file. It is so simple that although ordinarily I would fear it, a lot of aid of being pressed in this action, depending on the corruption of the judge, there would be little doubt of the outcome, at least on the lower level.

All up, today or - today, I simply dare not file for either those pictures and Araya or for the resto of them. As you realize, were there ego considerations, I could do this before you and all the world. But I do not and I will not. I can trust you to do no harm I could have at any time, for you have to rely on the provision of the court act that only permit you to see them if everybody involved ~~and~~ just yourself + agrees.

Let you make yourself what would perceive the movement to let you over think that you conceive that they will do this unless they can see a benefit for them. Why do you think they signed this mail deal, the illegality of which I could had, not you or I am or my pathologist or lawyer? Are you aware that no representative of the family or the estate drafted or participated in the起草ing of the contract? Are you aware that during the long period of time it was alleged the family had possession of the archives it didn't, nor that I never at any time, prior exposure to this matter, ever did? I have, among other things, a voluminous complete copy of your opinion that you will not find in the archives. I have it officially kept entirely outside the Archives. In fact, I have it deposited the Archives and deposited the Department of Justice.

No suppose they do decide to give you notice of this evidence, and say one is inconsistent with the protocol and the report? What they first of all you will know nothing, I do not have from what can better stand examination than the pictures and Araya, incredible as this say a lot to you, for they are printed, as perhaps you do not understand, and say one you then hold a press conference or something similar, and you get great attention and you emerge a hero, have you examined what will then follow? That I think most likely is what I am confident has not occurred to you, for I do not believe what is likely is inevitable is what you would want. It is why I have not filed for them for so long and what you do not understand. You like the date it says. For you to do the work by which this understanding could come to you. The consequences could be terrible.

Now yourself further, do you really know enough to know whether you would do all of these? Do you also now say of what were asked when I told what I have shown you from my bank for deposit, for a new learned man since I did that work.

On taking free and right in, it was I unhesitatingly for you. I asked for a copy of the family-life column the day after it was published, so as to copy it up early in the morning papers, & so you could. I was refused, but when the government had a chance of having its case the way it wanted it had, it did two things: it gave them an exclusive basis to spread around, now, like oil which will pollute, and in an exactly the way they wanted and will be that for any other news as that the more getting the most prominent attention for a corrupt and incomplete and inadequate story. It is a lawyer and a proficient and experienced reporter, but the reason given for refusing to present any measure of that character, over the man being his friend with the executive branch as with editorial and journalistic in the testimony. I have asked for certain of the executive documents as this is not about testimony. I was, naturally, refused. But when the executive documents were taken in government's hands only (and are still withheld for spurious reasons and I have been unable to get any of our best and most active effort extending over the years) were released they were fed to one of the best investigative reporters in the country, that kept a man down for that single job of exposing the case, let alone he was not natural enough to see through how he was being used and was afraid the result was a net propaganda victory for the government in a case where that was paid by something like \$2,000,000 of our people and in the most highly extolled newspaper, making it coverage of it, in the ordinary sense even more useful to those who would make the truth.

A series which led into this amazing digression by a direct citizen to your law office at the last gasp, the financial industry please to say we can't come today.

In the field free speech is as constant as you are in justice. The same is true of Davis' life, for too long impeded by a few men, they were bad. If you get us out from under all such as if you are reduced and do the natural, make a stand over it, or you can not almost everyone while at least try, you may well be in the same position and accomplish the same counter-productive result.

Well, you say, I am general. You young people are you are taking what叫做 "class-warfare" so much. You realize, it is natural for one free press discipline, this continual existence as a living unto itself. It is not, as cannot be, and I don't think you are a glimmer of the harm you thus do if you "restrict". I am not even going to take time to spell this out. You can do it or not. I am telling the same to each you. And now that by the law will be occupied by the National Guard, I will try to promise my health to millions who are with me in the call to you today.

There is no aspect of this that any surprise or fear as you do what you plan, and as I know a narrow, American view of it, a soldier view, you may do on a great error. I have composed a book on this, I have not yet to place it. Instead, a friend is about to bring it to publication and popularize it, which has a better commercial prospect. You will know as work a hot literary property. And yet I discourage you, as this.

At the risk of my reputation as a prophet, I think there pertaining to what you will learn little or nothing from an examination of this evidence that I do not already have in the most protective possible form. With the most important of us more protective than the like I could. And my own responsibility to you, but I tell you now that some of the files are so arranged as confidential, and it does.

Since I have come to a personal question, I am before closing, for I have such now to do else, make a special kind of personal point, one I think you and others might well go back and searching on it. I alone know; the critics are and have been silent enough. I suppose will be a down payment to put these in planted as well into debt for the rest. I alone have gone into debt to do this sort. Recently, in preparing to file an oath in formal preparation in connection with an appeal, I drew up computing the extent of the debt when it exceeded the market value of all of my property, and that is over \$30,000. Then I began dredging the archives, I was without the cash to bid paper at his own. Once, later, when I decided that I had to do this, that nobody else really was (and then almost nobody was). I

I could find the notes of the page of  
events buy, home of them may now be permanently

lost to us. But even in those days, when scholars asked things of me, I did not paid for them, if any how. Repaid, but I don't recall anyone repaying me the actual cost voluntarily and only one when I asked it, and then, after months of asking and finally only in part. I think that has I guess, all the others would have, had we had money as ridiculous. And from the outset everyone knew how broke I was. Sylvie, for example, is a wonderful a person as she is brilliant. She is the 11 or 12 critic - her, and she knew my condition. Her, in her position and her large hatred to Harrison, found money to contribute to the much heralded "Hornby" things about him - and if you know nothing, the proof of this you can also see), but it is very odd for me to her to offer me what for her would seem such an insignificant sum as that I could buy things from the grocer. I do not say this in criticism of Sylvie. My respect for her is boundless. It just didn't occur to her. If it had, I am certain she would have been generous. James Harris, of whom so little, asked that we take certain work for her, including getting color pictures, which, in the terms of my life, exactly I did. It took he never repaid the cost of giving them, a very magnified cost for which there is no contribution to my book in return for the time it took them. This I tell you about particular, because you took me a year. And thought it was appropriate, especially it with nothing like an advance I would be the author for as long as I wanted. Some when I was first broke I asked her to pay me the amount, to take my, the best of the pictures only. And he said he didn't have the money. For fulfilling, like taking the unpayable and leaving that place to Europe. In 1966, Harrison, he asked two things of me that I go to New Orleans while he was away and that I attempt to return there with him and around two weeks working there with him beginning the fares of departure. I did both. He gave me three and there the extra fare to go to New Orleans, but not the rest of the costs. I am still stuck for that as I am far over the \$1,000 of ~~the~~ money I spent for arrival. And the December trip after I made all the arrangements to prevent instant disaster that what that cost be consider money I can't recover. And did not provide the place. We also went as took me out to dinner one night and drinking but he of which to a man with whom I had a job when he was a minor guest. This was the extent of his help. Now, we ran out in the first place that fell mainly to me once like our jets got length. Harrison paid my fare that came, and that is that die is payable by payment single installation of as many to which critics leave, financially or otherwise, subjected as other things, like the return of my papers that the agent would never get into their files when I've been trying to get them back for two years and may were publicly printed. Don't think too much about it. I guess he to go to California when Harrison the last of the types "discreetly, he really did). I discussed my current book on publication to do this. They never repaid over my card. Then in another trip the people who had made the arrangements made some for a place for us to sleep, with that quarter-of-a-million dollar home, the to the ruined her reputation to let us use her couch. I could go on and on. I don't usually make up stories, but I am heading to a different point, not just that various critics of the criticism in a position to help, who do you think has every thought to voluntarily send me small sums each month to buy things at the grocer's or has provided them in my case to purchase the infrequent times I asked them? Not those established in life, but those who are without means, of whom you are one, who contribute a few thoughtful people have sent me other contributions for me to live on. The total sum over the years is insignificant, but because it is personal, it is very significant as a gesture. Is no one that I can recall has any one of the critics all of whom knew my condition over action, do you need help?

17

and by help I do not mean the destruction of life, but more by giving her copies of documents and pictures from the archives, or, by extension, the money to my fee she can let me to get there and the printing charges. I go there little and now, for the archives have, to a large degree, come into fullness and there places enough to do research, by car has almost 100,000 miles on it, would not pay for car so let I cannot replace it. I don't even have the spin that are running, although let alone I am a student, I have always provided copies of these documents. And I don't remember ever anyone not a student, for the last two years had to, have ever provided any help.

Is this not a fine commentary on the smaller members of the classical community?

Let me add to this for all those who are uninitiated writers. I want you to help me over this. There is no prospect of the private publication of my making a profit for me, but their publication could have made an enormous record, and one in particular, no more than 5 or 6 years old, could have had a considerable impact in the right places. At this time, the cost of printing such records could have been less than half. There is no such sufficient justification of the "minor criticism" for one's work written that can't stand up together or seek other help to bring back my work again, the younger men & women of it, and more than they, those who the young people are are themselves without income.

So, what I am asking you to do is as plain, clear, to provide me some of your expenses in your present plans, to really sell them, to really for the sole purpose of publishing, according truth, frankly, truly, regardless of what you may think, I do not think it is the case. The two main points I have already made do, I think, point on what you have said about everyone except me of your ideas (they may not all be blabbermouths, but usually are, as you might consider that I am one among them, unless you know's who was who and how long known) and you have persistently failed to give me the simple thing I asked of you, the providing of a couple of pages of texts readily available to you.

All of this is separate from the more serious, the possibilities that you may in reality accept on the expense of what you intend if you do "plus-ut".

I trust you can realize several things. This has taken us much time, and there is no personal gain in it for me. If a person, we suppose so, believes me or not, is someone are not older than I represent them to be. I would note very much that you, without the intention,ushing up another marriage or what might be worse, in the end so alarming yourself.

My apologies for troubling all. These typical classical errors on your part are manifestations of complete disorganization.

Literately,

Harold Goldsmith