

See same column in Oakland Tribune, Oct. 14.
Paragraphs marked in that version omitted here.

San Rafael Independent-Journal
INSIDE WASHINGTON Oct. 18,
1966

Warren Papers Brewing Feud

By ROBERT S. ALLEN
and PAUL SCOTT

WASHINGTON—As the third anniversary of President's assassination nears, a headline-making controversy is shaping up here over whether several dozen still-secret documents and working papers of the Warren Commission's investigation should be made public.

This backstage debate, underway for weeks at the highest levels of the Johnson administration, was touched off this summer by Congress' passage of the Freedom of Information law.

ALTHOUGH THIS new Federal Public Records statute doesn't become effective until July 4, 1967, the Justice Department is interpreting White House instructions for implementing it as a mandate to make available to the public nearly all the presently classified documents of the Warren Commission probe.

On Aug. 17, 1966, the Attorney General's office asked the National Archives "to apply the same standards of public accessibility to the working papers and administrative reports" as to other public documents it has received from the Warren Commission.

INITIATED BY Asst. Atty. Gen. Frank Wozencraft, head of the department's office of legal counsel, this little-noticed move could clear the way for the publication of hundreds of secret FBI, Secret Service, State Department, and Warren Commission staff papers dealing with the assassination.

In most instances these documents already have been carefully combed by William Manchester, the Baltimore writer picked by Mrs. Jacqueline Kennedy to do a family-authorized book on the Dallas tragedy.

Manchester, who knows a good story when he sees one, predicts that his book will cause a major sensation.

According to Manchester's friends his book covers the six crucial days from Kennedy's last public appearance at a White House function before leaving Washington for his Texas tour to after the state funeral.

STILL SECRET — and the center of the present debate — are several dozen government investigative reports and documents of the commission barred from the public "in the national interest."

Only a directive from President Johnson can rip the secrecy seal from these papers, including one from the CIA calling it the official policy of the KGB, the Soviet Secret police, to assassinate or discredit Western leaders actively opposing Russia's foreign policy.

Other documents still under lock and key at the National Archives are CIA and FBI reports which, if published, would reveal investigative techniques and sources of these agencies both in this country and abroad.

IN THE SHARP debate now raging in the administration, Under-Secretary of State Nicholas DeB. Katzenbach has taken the lead in arguing for publication of all documents. He was still attorney general when his aide called for disclosure of the still secret commission documents.

Katzenbach's position is supported by a number of officials, especially in the Justice Department, who are holdovers from the Kennedy administration. They believe publication of these papers will revive interest in the late President's death and indirectly help the political fortunes of

Sen. Robert Kennedy, D-N.Y.

It is common gossip among these officials that Mrs. Jacqueline Kennedy, in a profound if not wholly explicable fashion, holds President Johnson partly responsible for her husband's untimely death.

This is said by her friends to be the explanation for Mrs. Kennedy's consistent refusal to meet President Johnson or even his wife socially ever since the state funeral, despite repeated friendly overtures on their part. She has the feeling, they say, that had the then vice president not been so insistent on her husband's making the fatal trip to Texas he might be alive today.