## .imes

10 402 69

period
areau of
reflected
afirmation
placement
rom private
ically called
annual reac
now depend on
polity to show
made to bring
of families.

ne forgotten, the avoided, the asampetent families ted roles in the piccional agencies like dling Hospital reid rightly so for close ary of service to abanand neglected children, advised that we had ad our minds about natamilies.

gal interpreter - champions
.he new thinking gave inidual examples of how our
.nking had changed. "Change
.ow," became "discharge now"
and private agencies tried.

Perhaps Roxanne Felumero's death was the result of our city's effort to change overnight. Roxanne should be left to point the finger. She might ask us, in the person of our institutions, to be calmer and hesitant in our criticisms of one another. She might invert the questioning and ask our institutions to be as patient with each other as we sometimes ask families under our care to be with their members when change occurs.

Donald J. Young Jackson Heights, N. Y. June 30, 1969

## Otepka Role Defended To the Editor:

To the Editor:
Your June 26 editorial on Otto Otepka's vindication is disappointing and not up to the usual high standards of The Times. Mr. Otepka was a hardnosed security boss and—until the advant of the Kennedy Administration—his security office had been one of the most highly professional organizations in the Federal Government.

In 1958 Otto Otepka received the State Department's Meritorious Service Award for his outstanding work. Your editorial stated that in the course of the Senate debate Edward Kennedy of Massachusetts recalled that the Civil Service Commission had upheld former Secretary of State Dean Rusk's demotion of Otepka for handing raw security files to a Senate committee without permission. Without permission from whom, may I ask?

The flimsy charge against Mr. Otepka was based on a 1948 Executive Order issued by President Truman which says that files on Government employes are not to be given to members of Congress, except through the President. However, that same year, 1948, Congress passed a law (Title V, Section 52 of the United States Code), which reads: "The rights of persons employed in the civil' service of the United States... to furnish information to either House of Congress or any committee thereof, shall not be denied or interfered with."

Furthermore, in 1958, a concurrent resolution was passed by both houses of Congress which reads: "Any person in Government service should put loyalty to highest moral principles and country above loyalty to persons, party or Government department."

JAMES J. CONLEY Boston, July 1, 1969

time