TOTA LINES HOSOTILE

S R S

+ January

Lellers

Uncomfortable doubts

able feeling that we do not simply have the uncomfortlogic and common sense." clusions that violate evidence, fact, and straining after conlan charges the critics [with]: has been guilty of what Phethat the Warren Commission know the whole truth and native interpretations, but Most of us cannot offer altersible polemics of the critics. absurd theories and irrespontion of our being prey to the urally grows. It is not a queswith the Warren Report nat-Zapruder film, dissatisfaction of the visual evidence of the by the official investigators, plausible conclusions drawn not even mention), of the imfacts of the [Kennedy] case aware of the contradictory people. As we become more movement of the American stitute a sort of grassroots R. Phelan, Nov. 23) now con-'Presentation of theory as... (many of which Phelan did that will not die" by James Report] ("The assassination the critics [of the Warren It should be obvious that

KRISTIN W. HENRY Ann Arbor, Mich.

Bullet proof?

and some members of the other Government sycophants, mission defenders, apologists, cover-ups by Warren Comtinuing series of deliberate wash attempt in a long-conis, namely, the latest whitequickly recognize James R. port are so obvious as to udices and biases concerning news media. Phelan's article for what it make any reasonably intelthe Warren Commission Religent and objective individual The New York Times's prej

drawn by the Warren Comtion and the subsequent invesgations and conclusions of his article from the very bethat set forth conclusions tigation. Rather, pects of the J.F.K. assassinaindividuals about various asby many intelligent, sincere areas of quite reasonable doubt that had been raised does not discuss the many mission critics. His article and castigate Warren Compose was to viciously attack ginning, and his major purmade up about the major alle-Mr. Phelan had his mind a series of statements

> dignity of a final unassailable medical conclusion? statements be afforded the bullet track through the Presand they never dissected the ence of a bullet hole in the completely missed the presthey performed the autopsy, ident's body. How can their President's throat at the time pository." Those pathologists the direction of the Book Debehind and above him-from shots that hit him came from sions. For example, he states Kennedy testified that the two performed the autopsy on that "the three doctors who to challenge the thoroughness mission without any attempt and accuracy of those conclu-

suffered so little damage is write: "Dr. Wecht emphasizes tenable. For the bullet to have Phelan has the audacity to scientific farce. And yet Mr. improbable. But it is not imsingle-bullet theory is that point in arguing that the bullet theory is a forensic onstrates that the singlewhen it came time to reprint article for all kinds of pictures, but it ran out of space photographs with captions. various materials, calls and I also sent him Mr. Phelan in numerous phone [see below] which clearly demthe composite bullet photo The Times had room in its I spent several hours with including



Comparisons: (1) Bullet which inflicted the wounds in President Kennedy's upper back and throat and all of Governor Connally's wounds; (2) two test bullets fired into cotton wadding; (3) one fired into an animal carcass to simulate Connally's chest wound; (4) one fired through a cadaver's wrist to simulate Connally's wrist wound; (5) one recovered from Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker's Dallas residence after an April 1963 attempt on his life. All test bullets were fired from Oswald's Mannlicher-Carcano rifle. And the other two?

possible." It is impossible, and the composite photo with the caption data that I sent him would have demonstrated that point to your readers. Of course, that is why the Warren Commission members and staff who were aware of the findings depicted in this composite photo in 1964, and Mr. Phelan in his journalistic research and investigation for this article, obviously had to ignore it in order to maintain the credibility of the single-bullet theory.

CARL H. WECHT, M.D., J.D.
Coroner, County of Allegheny
Pittsburgh

James Phelan replies:

came to an opposing conclued out that Jacob Cohen, examis widely known and quoted. convinced that either is necession. I am not irrevocably ining the same photographs but summarized it and pointof my article but simply imsarily right I did not "dismiss" his opinion pugns my motives. His rejecdoes not fault the accuracy be part of a conspiracy. He a syndrome many of the Wartion of the single-bullet theo.y ately held beliefs, one must not agree with their passionren critics display; if one does Dr. Wecht's letter follows