Sirhan Jury Panel Questioned on Death Penalty

By DOUGLAS E. KNEELAND

Special to The New York Times

LOS ANGELES, Jan 14 —

Prospective jurors for the trial
of Sirhan Bishara Sirhan were
interrogated intensely by defense attorneys today about
their attitude toward the death

As the second day of jury selection began in the tightly guarded 75-seat courtroom on the eighth floor of the Hall of Justice, Mr. Cooper resumed B. questioning of Miss Caroline R. Freeman, a young telephone

preeman, a young telephone operator.

"As you sit here in the jury box at the present time," the tall, gray-haired chief defense counsel asked, "do you feel that the death penalty is a more appropriate penalty than life imprisonment?"

Miss Freeman, a seriousfaced blonde with tortoise-shell

Miss Freeman, a serious-faced blonde with tortoise-shell glasses, shook her head slowly and replied that she did not. In California, a jury that con-victs a defendant of first-degree murder then holds a separate proceeding to determine sen-tence

since there are no legal guidelines for the jury to follow in making the choice between life imprisonment and death, Mr. Cooper asked Miss Freeman how she would arrive

at that decision.

"It would depend on how overwhelming the evidence would be," she answered softly.

Under further questioning,

at Coast Murder Trial in Kennedy Slaying

of Sirhan Bishara Sirhan were interrogated intensely by defense attorneys today about their attitude toward the death penalty.

Yesterday, for the first time in open court, Grant B. Cooper, chief counsel for the 24-year old Jordanian immigrant, said that the defense would not contend that Sirhan did not fire the shot that Sirhan did not fire the shot that killed Senator Robert F. Kennedy.

Mr. Cooper made it clear, however, that the defense would attempt to show that Sirhan's state of mind was such at the time of the assassination last June 5 that his "responsibility" or "capacity" was diminished. Since Sirhan is charged with first-degree murder, the prosecution must prove "malice aforethought." If the slight, dark youth is convicted as charged, he faces life imprisonment or death in the gas chamber.

But under California precedents, the defense may win a conviction for a lesser degree of murder, or at least decrease the chances of a death sentence, by proving that the defendant's state of mind was such at the time of the act that he could not have given it "mature" consideration.

As the second day of jury selection have given it "mature" consideration.

As the second day of jury selection have the conceded that if Sie was convinced that the defended that the defended that if Sie was convinced that the defended that if Sie was convinced that the defended that if Sie was convinced that the defended in the defended

Views Sought by the Defense prospective jurors today about their attitudes toward the defense of "diminished responsi-biliy." Moreover, he again sought to learn if they had