

S.F. CARROLL - 11/16/67

## Indictment of Warren Report

By Evelyn Irons  
*London Sunday Times*

New York

November 22, the fourth anniversary of the assassination of President Kennedy, is being marked by a fresh onslaught on the Warren Report of 1964 that named Lee Harvey Oswald as the lone killer.

"Accessories After the Fact" by Sylvia Meagher, is regarded as the best documented and most damning of any attack on the Warren Report yet. A massive book of 250,000 words, it takes up the Commission's points one by one and patiently refutes each of them by carefully culled evidence from its own records.

Mrs. Meagher, an executive of the World Health Organization in New York, compiled and published last year the only index that exists of the 26 volumes of hearings and exhibits that appeared two months after the Commission's report itself.

### DOUBTS

"Oswald was 95 per cent innocent," Mrs. Meagher told me. "The report found him guilty. But the hearings and exhibits, instead of corroborating that verdict, create gravest doubts of his complicity in any of the crimes of which he was accused."

She denounced the members of the Warren Commission and Chief Justice Earl Warren himself for "manipulating the evidence" contained in their own documents to back up their presumption that there was no conspiracy and that Oswald acted alone. She said the report suppressed findings favorable to Oswald, overlooked testimony from crucial interviews and glossed over inconvenient facts.

### BIAS

Overlooked testimony from crucial interviews and glossed over inconvenient facts.

### BIAS

In her book, Mrs. Meagher says: "The Commission's blatant bias for and against witnesses and its double standard of judging credibility are beyond belief. Marina Oswald's testimony is treated as impeccable, despite her poor showing under the sole cross-examination to which she was subjected. Her unsupported statement that Oswald took a potshot at the rightist General Edwin Walker was accepted without question."

There is no indication in the vast collection of documentation, she maintains, that the Commission at any time seriously considered the possibility that Oswald was not guilty, or that he had not acted alone.