RUNAWAY BEST SELLER!



Mark Lane's

"Mr. Lane's book is a brief for the defense — the case he would have made, had he been permitted to represent Oswald. It is well-documented, persuasive, and restrained. As a professional advocate, he . . . presents a powerful case for the proposition that the Commission committed numerous errors in admitting, evaluating, and excluding evidence."

— FRED GRAHAM,
New York Times Book Review

devastating critique of the Warren Commission Report

SIXTH LARGE PRINTING
70,000 COPIES IN PRINT

$5.95 at your bookstore now

Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

1866-1966

"If one-tenth of these facts should prove to be significant, then the work of the Warren Commission will be judged by history to be a scandal worse than Teapot Dome. . . . RUSH TO JUDGMENT will live as a classic for every serious amateur detective in America because Lane's book proves once and forever that the assassination of President Kennedy is more of a mystery today than when it occurred. . . . His work is not without a trace of that stature we call heroic. Three cheers!"— NORMAN MAILER, Front Page, *Book Week*

"This is the most disturbing book I have read in many years. Whatever one may think about conspiracies and all the other highly debatable areas of conjecture, it seems to me that Lane has completely proved his main point, and it is a terrible one: that the most significant murder of our lifetime received an investigation which does not measure up to the standards of criminalistics and detection which we have a right to expect in the most ordinary everyday homicide. (And that the official Commission did its best to conceal this fact from the public.)"

— ANTHONY BOUCHER

"Other critics have covered much of the same material that concerns Lane, but none have been more thorough and painstaking. He has gone beyond the Warren Report and the multivolume Commission hearings to interview witnesses (some who testified before the Commission and others ignored by it), to visit Dallas, and to conduct a relevant investigation of his own."

— *San Francisco Examiner & Chronicle*

"The Warren Commission Report is not acceptable. It ignores or misrepresents or distorts much of the recorded evidence, and its most important conclusions are suspect. If you think that is incredible, or even merely unlikely, and wish to go on thinking so, do not read this book. Do not even stop in at a bookstore for a look at it, for if you read a page, almost any page, you will probably be hooked."— REX STOUT

"If there are many more books like Mark Lane's RUSH TO JUDGMENT, it is just possible that the inquiry could be reopened. . . . It raises serious doubts that the full truth has yet been told about what happened on that November 22."

— *Detroit News*

"The book gains much of its force by pointing up discrepancies between the Commission's conclusions and its own record of testimony. Mark Lane may have scraped the barrel for damaging doubt-raisers. But they are sharp enough and numerous enough (citations cover 50 pages) to disquiet even readers predisposed — as was this reviewer — to accept the judgment of the respected men who had the commissioners' thankless task."

— *Christian Science Monitor*

"This book makes a *prima facie* case for re-opening the Warren Commission's hearings. Unless its questions are answered more effectively than they have yet been, the investigation must be deemed materially incomplete."— PROFESSOR LOUIS LUSKY, School of Law, Columbia University