Books of The Times

Rush to the Warren Committee Report

By CHRISTOPHER LEHMANN HAUPT

BY CHRISTOPHER

RUSH TO JUDGMENT: A Critique of the
Warren Commission's Inquiry into the Murders of President John F. Kennedy, Officer
J. D. Tippit and Lee Harvey Oswald. By
Mark Lane. 478 pages. New York: Holt,
Rinehart & Winston. \$5.95.

THE OSWALD AFFAIR: An Examination of
Controdictions and Omissions of the Warren
Report. By Leo Sauvage. 418 pages. World.
\$6.95.

ECAUSE of the extraordinary legal circumstances attending the assassina-tion of President John F. Kennedy, an extraordinary legal body, the Warren Commission, was created to perform in effect all the functions of establishing legal truth. Normally in the American judicial system these functions include investigation, indict-

ment, prosecution, defense and judg-

There is a growing body of opin-ion that the Warren Commission handicapped was by its uniqueness and homogeneity, and therefore did not perform all its functions

Mark Lane, one of the most stri-dent of the voices critical of the commission, contends in his book, "Rush to Judgment," that the commission



Fred W. McDarrah Mark Lane

skipped the fundamental question raised skipped the fundamental question raised the moment shots rang out in Dallas, which was "What happened?" and leaped by questionable logic to subsidiary ones: Did Lee Harvey Oswald shoot the President and did he act alone? Then, operating from the premise that he did both, the commission proceeded to gather the evidence that supported this conclusion even twisting it when ported this conclusion, even twisting it when it proved uncooperative, and ignored that which seemed downright contradictory.

Defense Omitted

To document his arguments Lane reviews and attacks the commission's proceedings as contained in its report and the 26 volumes of testimony and exhibits. In addition, he presents evidence based on his own investigations, which were carried on since he accepted, in December, 1963, the invitation of Marguerite Oswald to represent her son's interests before the Warren Commission.

To read "Rush to Judgment" without the

commission's 27 volumes at hand is a stag-gering experience. If we are to believe Lane, the evidence against Oswald is flimsier, the task of discovering who fired the deadfy shots more bewildering.

But while "Rush to Judgment" is an elo

quent summary of the defense, it cannot be read as a logically airtight critique. Like any summary, it is filled with stresses and biases. For example, in challenging the commission's conclusion that the ammunition Oswald allegedly used was sufficiently re-liable, Lane quotes from the "Speculations and Rumors" section of the report as fol-

"Speculation-Ammunition for rifle found on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository had not been manufactured since the end of World War II. The ammunition used by Oswald must, therefore, have been at least 20 years old, making it extremely unreliable.

finding - The ammunition used in the rifle recently made by the Western Cartridge Company [East Alton, linois], which manufactures such ammunition currently."

False, says Lane, presenting a letter from the manufacturer stating that 6.5-millimeter Mannlicher-Carcanno ammuntion has not been made by them since 1944, and concluding therefore that the commission was wrong about the ammunition's reliability. What Lane neglects to include are, first, the commission's conclusion that the ammunition, whenever it was manufactured, is in plentiful supply and, second, the final sentence in the "Commission finding": "In tests with the same kind of ammunition, experts fired Oswald's Mannlicher-Carcanno rife more than 100 times without missfire.

This does not necessarily dispel the disturbing questions he raises concerning the number of bullets fired, the direction of their flight, the weapon which fired them, the whereabouts of Oswald—and for that matter Jack Ruby—before, during and immediately after the assassination, and the selection and interviewing of witnesses.

These questions have been raised by others, among them Léo Sauvage, the American correspondent of *Le Figaro*, in his more dispassionate but equally critical book, "The passionate but equally critical book, Oswald Affair."

But it is the very bias and shrillness of "Rush to Judgment," its power to send one scrambling through the 27 volumes for protection, that comprises its effectiveness. For it presents Mark Lane as Lee Harvey Oswald's advocate, crying to be let in to defend his underdog and thereby join a not alto-gether disreputable tradition in American history. And it makes one suspect that had the membership of the commission allowed Lane—or someone as single-mindedly committed to Oswald's defense—to function in the hearings, its proceedings would have more completely reflected the American judicial system, and thereby reached, if not a different conclusion, one that would not have inspired such books as "Rush to Judg-