WXPost Cover-Up Trial Focuses On Tapes' Admissibility

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

The Watergate trial turned its stage over to dictments. bit players yesterday with testimony about tapes, transcripts and technicalities.

The big witness of the day was former White House aide Alexander P. Butterfield, the man who revealed the exist-ence of the secret White man who revealed the calso ence of the secret White House taping system that finally tumbled President Nixon from office.

Watergate prosecutors "We're not going to do it twice," the judge said firmly. "That's a waste of time."

The key question before the

began the tedious process of laying the groundwork for the introduction of 26 Watergate recordings that lie at the heart of the alleged cover-up conspiracy.

cover-up involved in the cover-up in-

At one point, the jurors were almost granted a holiday from much of what Neal described as "the boring stuff," but U.S. District Court Judge John J. Sirica ruled against

The key question before the court is the admissibility of 26. taped conversations involving the former President that by former White House chief Watergate prosecutors want to of staff H. R. (Bob) Haldeman introduce without calling any onspiracy.

Chief trial prosecutor James meetings to the witness stand.

Chief trial prosecutor James
F. Neal said he expects the trial to return to "what this case is all about" next week.

Meanwhile, he forecasts a procession of Secret Service agents, White House archivists, grand jury stenographers and similar witnesses to get past the fine points of law

meetings to the witness stand.

Butterfield, who now heads the Federal Aviation Administration, was offered instead as an expert witness who can and has singled out every voice on the tapes. According to the prosecution, he even changed one transcript that had Mr. Nixon coughing to a "cough"



H. R. (BOB) HALDEMAN ... disputes transcript

of staff H. R. (Bob) Haldeman.

Haldeman's lawyers protested that this was an improper way to introduce tape recordings, especially ones that might have been spliced, altered or erased.

Sirica, however, handed the prosecutors a preliminary victory by calling for the so-

See TRIAL, A19, Col. 3

TRIAL, From A1

called "foundation testimony" first and announcing that he would then rule on the admissibility of the tapes.

Even supposing that some of them were erased, Assistant Watergate Special Prosecutor Richard Ben-Veniste argued that the tapes were still valid evidence.

Whatever may have been eliminated from the tapes, he argued, would surely not help the defendants and whatever might have been added to the recordings would surely not harm them.

Watergate is a most novel, unique and unusual case,' Ben-Veniste declared. In the case of the tapes, he said with the jurors uut of the room, it simply shows that "alleged conspirators sometimes bug themselves."

Alluding to one contested tape recording at the outset of yesterday's session, Sirica gave Haldeman's lawyers little comfort on what it contained.

The prosecution's transcript

had the former White House chief of staff using the word "Gemstone," the secret code word for the espionage that included the Watergate break-in, in a conversation with Mr. Nixon on June 23, 1972, just six days after the bugging was discovered at Democratic National Headquarters here.

Haldeman's chief counsel, John J. Wilson, had contended that his clients actually used the word, "convention" or the word, "convention" or something like that—anything but "Gemstone."

Sirica said he and his law clerk listened to the tape over the weekend.
"I couldn't come up with a

word like 'convention' or any-thing like that,' he informed Wilson yesterday morning. I get 'Gemstone'."

The judge said it would be up to the jury to decide what was said in any event.

The rest of yesterday's session dealt largely with ancil-



Jeb Stuart Magruder is shown leaving court after finishing his 5 days of testimony Monday.

lary charges in the cover-up indictment, such as allegations that former Attorney General John N. Mitchell and former White House aide John D. Ehrlichman lied to the FBI during the original Watergate ing to the FBI men that they investigation. The three FBI agents who interviewed them were called to the stand in quick succession. The Watergate grand jury accused both Mitchell and Ehrlichman of lying in claiming to the FBI men that they had no knowledge of the Watergate break-in on June agents who interviewed them are called to the stand in quick succession. The Watergate grand jury accused both Mitchell and Ehrlichman of lying in claiming to the FBI men that they had no knowledge of the Watergate break-in on June agents who interviewed them are called to the stand in quick succession. The watergate grand jury accused both Mitchell and Ehrlichman of lying in claiming to the FBI men that they had no knowledge of the watergate break-in on June agents who interviewed them