Text of Memorandum Hunt Wrote After Break-In also carried by WXP, 5 Nov 74) Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Nov. 4— Following is the text of a memorandum, written by the Mistory of Gemstone was characterized by diminishing characterized by diminishing the defendance of the commitments. An apparent wash-hands attitude now that the electric honor all commitments. An apparent wash-hands attitude now that the electric honor all commitments attitude now that the electric honor all commitments. Accordingly, the defendance of the commitments attitude now that the electric honor all commitments attitude now that the electric honor attitude now that honor attitude now honor attitude

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Nov. 4—
Following is the text of a
memorandum, written by
E. Howard Hunt Jr. five
months after the Watergate
break-in, that was introduced today by the prosecution at the Watergate cover-up trial:

Review and Statement of Problem

The seven Watergate defendants, and others not yet indicted, bugged D.N.C. offices initially against their better judgement, knowing that Larry O'Brien was seldom there, and that many items of interest were being moved to Florida. Furthermore, the defendants pressed an alternate plan to bug an alternate plan to bug O'Brien's Fontainebleau convention suite, before occupancy, a low-risk, high-gain operation which was rejected.

The seven defendants again rotested further bugging of protested further bugging of D.N.C. headquarters on June 16-17, the intercepted conversations by then having shown clearly that O'Brien was not using his office. Again, objections were overridden and the attempt was loyally made even though money for outside guards was struck from the operafunding coupled with increasing demands by those who conceived and sponsored the

If initial orders to bug D.N.C. headquarters were illadvised, the defendants' sponsors compounded the fiasco by the following acts:

Indecisiveness at the moment of crisis.

2. Failure to quash the investigation while that option was still open.

3. Allowing Hunt's safe to be opened and selected con-tents handed to the F.B.I.

4.. Permitting an F.B.I. investigation whose unprecedented scope and vigor caused humiliation to families, friends and the defendants themselves.

5. Granting immunity to Baldwin.

6. Permitting defendants to fall into the hands of a para-noid judge and three self-ad-mitted liberal Democrat prosecutors.

7. Failure to provide promised support funds n a timely and adequate basis; contipostponements

attitude now that the election has been won, heirhtening the sense of unease among all defendants who have grown increasingly to feel that they are being offered up as scapegoats ulti-

l. Once the criminal trial ends, the D.N.C. civil suit resumes. In his depositionVng are three investigations by Congressional committees. John Mitche ll may well have perjured himself.
2. Pend The Democratic

Congress is not going to simply let the Watergate affair

ply let the Watergate affair die away.

M1 3. The media are offering huge sums for defendants' stories, for example, an offer to one defendant for his "authorizements" now storde at the control of the stories of the

to one defendant for his "autobiography" now stands at \$745,000.

4. The Watergate bugging is only one of a number of highly illegal conspiracies engaged in by one or more of the defendants at the behest of senir White House officials. These as yet undisclosed crimes can be proved.

5. Immunity from prose-

5. Immunity from prosecution and/or judicial clemency for cooperating defendants is a standing offer.
6. Congressional elections will take place in less than two years.

Defendants' Position

The defendants have followed all instructions meticulously, keeping their part of the bargain by maintaining silence. They have not, until now, attempted to contact persons still in positions of responsibility in an effort to obtain relief and reassurance, believing pre-election security to be a primary consideration.

security to be a primary consideration.

The Administration, however, remains deficient in living up to its commitments.

These commitments were and are:

Financial support.
 Legal defense foes.

3. Pardons.

4. Rehabilitation. Having recovered from post-election euphoria, the Administration should now attach high priority to keeping its commitments and tak-

ing its commitments and taking affirmative action in behalf of the defendants.

To end further misunderstandings the seven defendants have set Nov. 27 at 5 P.M. as the date by which all past and current financial requirements are to be paid, and credible assurances giv-

able.

Accordingly, the defendants are meeting on Nov. 25 to determine our joint and automatic response to devidence of continued indifference on the part of those in whose behalf we suffered the loss of our employment, our futures and our reputations as honorable men.

The foregoing should not be misinterpreted as a threat. It is among other things a re-

It is among other things a reminder that loyalty has always been a two-way street.