WXPost SEP-1 3 1974 xon Pardon: A Dilemma

Despite Qualms, Judges Say It Probably Won't Affect Actions

By B. D. Colen Washington Post Staff Writer

Washington area Some judges said in interviews this week that they are troubled by the implications of the pardoning of former President Nixon by President Ford, but that they will not allow their qualms to affect their handing of sentencing of defendants before

their courts.

Some of the jurists said the pardon does raise questions in the public's mind as to the concept of "equal jus-tice under the law," but that they as judges felt Mr. Ford's action probably Ford's action probably would not affect them in determining what sentence to give a defendant for a minor crime.

"I had to sentence a person (Monday) for shoplifting a \$12 belt, and I can't tell you it (the pardon) wasn't in my mind, because it was," said Judge Ernest L. Loveless, of the Prince George's County Circuit Court. "In that case, I followed

the recommendation of the state's attorney's office, which was probation," Lovewhich was probation," Loveless continued. "The pardon didn't shape my decision, but I don't know what the future might hold. I just follow the philosophy of following the law as is just and proper in my mind.

"I think the concept of equal justice will be affected more in the mind of the individual (before the

the individual (before the court) than in the trial judge's mind. It's always hard for a person who gets a harder sentence (than another person who committed a similar crime) to understand why he does.

"If I was President. I wouldn't have done it at this time," said Loveless, "but I really don't think I should comment further . .

When asked about the pardon, Chief Judge John A. Rothrock Jr., of the Fairfax County General District Court said, "We try to steer as far away as possible from policies in the District of Columbia.

This court does not deal with that serious an event, or alleged event (as the Watergate scandal), and (the pardon) wouldn't give us any problems. It's the law, that the President may pardon, that's all I would have to say. We try to abide by what the law is here," said Rothrock.

Judge Charles W. Hal-

leck, an outspoken member of the D.C. Superior Court bench and the son of former Rep. Charles Halleck (R-Ind.), commented on the Nixon pardon by quoting Nixon.

"I can only continue to do what (then President Nixon recommended in a speech on Oct. 15, 1972," said Halleck, who then guoted Nixon:

Nixon:
"I will work unceasingly to halt the erosion of moral fiber in American life and the denial of individual accountability for individual never mistake license for liberty, amorality for tolerance, indulgence for charity or weakness for

passion..."
"Those are the ideals to which I subscribe," said-Halwhich I subscribe," said Halleck, "and I'm not going to be deterred from those ideals by questions of whether or not President Nixon should have been pardoned."

Prince George's County District Court Judge James Magruder Rea said he viewed the Nixon pardon with mixed emotions.

The pardoning of Nixon

The pardoning of Nixon "has done harm on a temporary basis, but in the long run we're a great society and have a way of forgetting

and have a way or rorgetting very quickly" said Judge Rea. "But to look a man in the eye and say your're going off to jail..."

"It makes it very difficult for judges on the local level," he continued, "no doubt about it. Even the Appnew case makes a diffi-Agnew case makes a diffi-culty of what the state of justice is in this country. . . "You know, people say there are three reasons for

sentencing" said Rea. "One is prevention. You used to hang felons to prevent crimes.

Another is retribution, 'an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth,' "And then there's rehabilitation, what we knee-jerk liberal judges worry about. If that (rehabilitation) is the criteria then I think the incriteria, then I think the in-dividuals (involved in Watergate) will go back into society and be morni individuals. .

But, "I don't know if we haven't had equal justice." said Judge Rea. "We've goten the man out of office. It's just that the sentence came before the trial.

"I've had people get up in court and throw themselves on the mercy of the court. I think its mercy that we're talking about," Rea said.