# White House Discloses Nixon-Colson Tape Gap

8-10-74 NYT

## By JOHN M. CREWDSON

By JOHN M. CREWDSON

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 9

Seventeen minutes of a crucial telephone conversation on March 21, 1973, between President Nixon and his chief trouble-shooter were never recorded, the White House disclosed today.

The call, from Mr. Nixon to Charles W. Colson, then a special counsel to the President, took place at about the time that \$75,000 in "hush money" was being delivered to E. Howard Hunt Jr., a Watergate conspirator who had threatened to disclose the "seamy" side of the White House unless he was paid.

According to an index and "analysis" of 26 Presidential conversations subpoenaed by Leon Jaworski, the special Watergate prosecutor, and supplied to Federal Judge John J. Sirica, the tape on the White House recording equipment "ran out" about midway throught 31-minute conversation.

Cover-Up Involved

But D. Todd Christoffersen, Judge Sirica's law clerk, said today that the judge was still "vigoing on the assumption" that the claims required a ruling from him as to their validity. Mr. St. Clair, who did not appear in court today to present on Wednesday th tapes of nine of the 64 subpoenaed conversations could not be found, despite a "diligent search."

Today, John A. Chester, another White House lawyer, presented to the court one of the nine missing tapes, a Jan. 8, 1973, conversation that Mr. Nixon and Mr. Colson had about Mr. Hunt.

Search to Continue

Asked. by newsmen whether the White House would continue to represent Mr. Nixon in the cover-up case, Mr. Chester replied, "I would assume that when Mr. Nixon becomes a private citizen . . . he will have his own lawyers."

Mr. Chester told Judge Sirica that when Mr. Nixon becomes a private citizen . . . he will have his own lawyers."

Mr. Chester told Judge Sirica the claims required a ruling from him as to their validity.

Mr. St. Clair, who did not appear in court today to present the claims required a ruling from him as to their validity.

Mr. St. Clair, who did not appoen of the 64 subpoenaed conversations could

Asked by newsmen whether the White House would continue to represent Mr. Nixon in the cover-up case, Mr. Chester replied, "I would assume that when Mr. Nixon becomes a private citizen . . . he will have his own lawyers."

Mr. Chester told Judge Sirica that he would continue to searc for another missing tape of a

House recording equipment that "ran out" about midway throthe 31-minute conversation.

Cover-Up Involved

But the analysis, prepared by James D. St. Clair, a White House counsel who represented Mr. Nixon, states that the first 14 minutes of conversation preserved on tape "appears to relate to the subject matter before this court."

The conversations, part of a total of 64 subpoenaed by Mr. Jaworski, are all believed by the prosecutors to relate to the role of Mr. Nixon and some of his former Whit House aides in the cover-up of the Watergate cover-up and the M. R. Haldeman, then his chief of staff, six days after the Dume 17, 1972, break-in at the Democratic party's Watergate headquarters.

It was those conversations, in which Mr. Nixon approved an attempt to limit the Federal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the Federal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the Federal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the Federal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the rederal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the federal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the rederal investigation of the incident to keep it from touching members of his re-election can attempt to limit the federal investigation of the visit of the mansion, in the Lincoln and four others, is under indictment for his role in the cover-up, asked today that the impending trial in the case be delayed or moved from Washington because of this week's "inflammatory" developments.

Mr. Ehrlichman contended in a plea to the court that the "massive and pervasive" publicity that had attended the "virtual toppling of a Pressidential Administration" would prove damaging to h