Reinecke Loses in Effort To Call Expert Witnesses

By E. W. KENWORTHY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 24—A fense's attempt to call a secunities of the requests by counsel for Lieut. Gov. Ed Reinecke of California to call a specialist in internal medicine and a semanticist aexpert witnesses.

The requests were made at the outset of the ninth day of the trial of Mr. Reinecke, a Republican, for perjury at the hearings by the Senate Judiciary Committee in March and April, 1972, on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

James E. Cox, Mr. Reinecke's attorney, explained to Judge Barrington Parker that he wished to call Dr. James Thomson, whom he described as an expert on the effects of air travel on mind and body, to testify that Mr. Reinecke, an asthmatic, was tired and under stress after an overnight plane trip when he tried to answer the committee's questions.

Mr. Cox said he wished to call Patrick Hunt, a semanticist, to show that many of the questions on I.T.T. Pledge Mr. Reinecke's indictment is based on his answers to questions by Mr. Fong on whether Mr. Reinecke's indictment is based on his answers to questions by Mr. Fong on whether Mr. Reinecke's indictment is based on his answers to questions by Mr. Fong on whether Mr. Reinecke's indictment is based on his answers to questions by Mr. Fong on whether Mr. Reinecke informed Attorney General John N. Mitchell in May or September, 1971, about the pledge by the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation of up to \$400,000 for the 1972 Republican National Convention.

Judge Parker rejected Mr. Reinecke were unclear, especially those by Republican Senator Hiram L. Fong of Hawaii.

Questions on I.T.T. Pledge Mr. Reinecke's indictment is based on his answers to questions were dear the place of the pl