White House Says Presidency, Not Nixon, Is Target of Attack

By LINDA CHARLTON Special to The New York Time

WASHINGTON, July 23 — fully submitted" by the "Office The 151-page White House de-of Special Counsel to the Presifense brief presented to the dent." House Judiciary Committee last
Saturday asserts the "complete faithfully Mr. St. Clair's steadabsence" of any solid evidence fast conviction that a President that would justify the impeach-cannot be impeached except

The brief—the text or watch is printed in adjacent columns—the conviction, voiced by both Mr. Nixon and his defense attorney. James D. St. Clair, that it is the office of the Presidency that is being besieged, not President Nixon. Mr. Nixon is not referred to in the brief by name; he is always "the President."

Although other defense submissions to the committee have been signed by Mr. St. Clair, the defense brief was "respect—the defense document, thus, does not address itself to a matter that proponents of impeachment regard as one of the most serious charges against Mr. Nixon, his refusal to honor committee subpoenas.

The bulk of the brief deals with the Watergate case, and in particular with the allegation that Mr. Nixon was involved in the payment of \$75,000 in "hush money" to E. Howard Hunt Jr., the convicted participant in the Watergate break-in. It was this allegation

ment of President Nixon. for a serious, indictable offense
The brief—the text of which related to government or quasis printed in adjacent columns governmental actions.

participant in the Watergate break-in. It was this allegation above all that led the Watergate grand jury to list Mr. Nixon as an unindicted co-conspirator.

The brief argued that "the artful language and distorted juxtaposition of the parts" in the indictment "resulted in a total impression that is grossly distorted insofar as the imputed involvement of the President in the Watergate cover-up is concerned."

The brief also said that the grand jury was wrong in its finding that Mr. Nixon had authorized the hush-money payment and therefore wrong in listing the President as an un-indicted co-conspirator.

Allegations Answered

The brief deals point by point with other major allegations against Mr. Nixon, making the following contentions:

tions:

The decision to settle anti-trust suits against the Interna-tional Telephone and Telegraph



United Press International

James D. St. Clair, President Nixon's chief defense lawyer, at his news conference Monday in Laguna Beach, Calif. He refused to say whether Mr. Nixon would obey a Supreme Court order on subpoenaed evidence.

of a pledge from an I.T.T. subsidiary to help to finance the Republican National Convention in San Diego. Califf in

Corporation was not the result 17 Presidential wiretaps Republican National Convention in San Diego, Calif., in 1972.

¶Mr. Nixon's decision in 1972 to raise milk price supports was not influenced by a campaign-contribution pledge by milk producers.

"massive leaks of sensitive foreign policy information at the time." There is, according to the brief, no evidence that Mr. Nixon misused the Internal Revenue Service to harass the Administration's enemies.

by milk producers.

The special investigations unit in the White House, known as the "plumbers," was set up by the President for national security reasons, and Mr. Nixon did not authorize or order any illegal acts.

The brief also asserted that Mr. Nixon had made consistent efforts to conduct a thorough investigation of the Watergate cover-up, of which he had no knowledge, and removed from office "every White House official against whom charges were made."