## Ehrlichman Files Motion Asking for a New Trial

## By LINDA CHARLTON Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 19 - The motion and its support-John D. Ehrlichman, found ing memorandum also noted guilty a week ago of conspiracy that Judge Gerhard A. Gesell and making false statements, had not instructed the jury to filed a motion today asking for disregard facial expressions. It

acquittal and a new trial on also alleged that during the grounds that included the "fatial, he "had indicated that trial, he "residual expressions and gestures" of the presiding judge.

The motion filed by Mr. First to bust into Dr. Fields.

of the presiding judge.

The motion filed by Mr. Ehrlichman's lawyers, claimed that he "was denied a fair trial by reason of the actions of the Court during the course of the trial."

"These actions," the motion said, "consisted of the making of facial expressions and other gestures which carried to the observer, and in particular to the jury, a clear indication of the Court's opinion on the credibility of John D. Elarlichman. The Court repeatedly made fa-

The Court repeatedly made facial expressions and turned away from the witness in such a manner as to indicate to the jury that Mr. Ehrlichman could not be believed."

In support of its first contention, concerning Judge Gesell's "facial expressions," the defense lawyers attached two supporting affidavits. They were from Mrs. William J. Flathers 3d and Susan Sullivan, identified only as spectators at identified only as spectators at the trial.

In identical affidavits, Miss Sullivan and Mrs. Flathers said that "by reason of the reaction of the trial judge by facial expressions, gestures and body movement, the Court clearly conveyed the impression that the Court found defendant Ehrlichman's testimony and the defense case to be inconsistent with facts and of doubtful ver-

Among the 18 specifics listed in the supporting memorandum were allegations that Judge Gesell "erred" in his charge to the jury by "placing undue and heavy emphasis on the Government's theory of the case, and by failing to charge on the defendant's theory of the case.

The memorandum also alleged a "failing" by Judge Gesell ito require the President to fully and completely respond to the interrogatories." Mr. Nixon gave sworn, written answers to six questions submitted to him by the defense.

The memorandum also cited "the atmosphere created by two Federal prisoners holding several persons hostage in the courthouse during final argument" as detracting from the jury's ability to "impartially deliberate on the facts of this case."

Mr. Ehrlichman and three codefendants, G. Gordon Liddy, Eugenio R. Martinez and Ber Eugenio R. Martinez and Bernard L. Barker, were found guilty of conspiring to violate the civil rights of Dr. Lewis J. Fielding, Daniel Ellsberg's former psychiatrist, by breaking into his office in Poverly Williams into his office in Beverly Hills, Calif., on Sept. 3. 1971 is