## Doar Abandons Neutrality,

## Presses Impeachment Case

## Counsel Says **Nixon Guilty** Of 'Crime'

By Richard L. Lyons and Bradley Graham Washington Post Staff Writers

Special counsel John Doar abandoned his position of neutrality yesterday and presented the case for impeachment of President Nixon in strong words before the House Judiciary Committee.

Using notes in a closed session, Doar reportedly spoke of the "enormous crime" committed by the President "in the conduct of his office." This was a reference to the Watergate cover-up and a series of other illegal or improper acts which Doar is convinced were undertaken with the knowledge and at the direction of President Nixon.

"Reasonable men acting rea-onably would find the Presi-dent guilty," said Doar, ac-cording to a Democratic member who took notes.

Doar did not recommend impeachment in so many words. He has said repeatedly during the seven months of the committee's impeachment inquiry mittee's impeachment inquiry that it is his job to guide the committee through the evidence, not to tell the members what to do. But members came away with no doubt about Doar's views.

Asked by newsmen after the meeting whether he felt the evidence justified impeachment, Doar replied: "That's my judgment, yes."

Asked if he had any doubts

about that position, Doar said: "No, I don't regard it as a close call."

Some members said Doar yesterday in the closed session shifted from his role of impartial instructor to that of prosecutor. He also reportedly showed more fire than ever, shifting occasionally from his laconic monotone to make an emotional speech.

Doar said he does not con-

sider himself a prosecutor but as counsel to the committee.
"I advised them as I always have fairly."
Minority counsel Albert Jenner, who has stood with Doar

on all major staff recommendations, reportedly told the committee he agreed with every word Doar spoke. The staff argument against an impeachment resolution will be made by the deputy minority counsel, Sam Garrison.
Reports of Doar's remarks brought a retort from White

House press secretary Ronald L. Ziegler in San Clemente,

See IMPEACH, A12, Col. 1

## IMPEACH, From A1

Calif., that Doar and Committee chairman Peter W. Rodino (D-N.J.) were conducting the inquiry in a "partisan, duplicitous and false way."

At yesterday's committee meeting, Doar presented the committee possible articles of impeachment, some prepared by his staff, others by committee members. He also presented a summary of evidence the committee has examined which he felt supports impeachment.

There were five different sets of impeachment articles, prepared by different sources. But they were much the same in their main charges.

Charges prepared by Doar and others include obstruction of justice, abuse of power, and violation of civil rights for the Watergate break-in and coverup and the Ellsberg psychiatrist's office break-in, con-tempt of Congress for defiance of committee subpoenas and tax evasion by the Presient.

The committee will hold another closed session today and possibly a third early next week to go over the compressed volume of evidence and discuss the draft articles. Beginning Wednesday the committee will hold a series of public meetings to debate and vote on the articles and decide whether to recommend to the House whether the President of the United States should be impeached. The final vote is not likely until early the following week.

A committee vote for impeachment seems assured, and its strong advocacy by the highly respected Doar may highly respected Doar may grovide more support for it. Doar told the committee, according to members, that the President's lawyer, James D. President's lawyer, James D. St. Clair "had things upside down." The tremendous powers of the presidency should be used for the benefit of the people, not for the aggrandizement of individuals. ment of individuals, Doar reportedly said.

If President Nixon did not know of the Watergate coverup before March 21, 1973, as he insists, Doar told the committee, "he did all he could to continue it after that." One of the first things, he did, said Doar, was to have his then Doar, was to have his then chief of staff H. R. Haldeman listen to tapes of presidential conversations and take notes of what they had said, Doar

The facts are "overwhelming," Doar told the committee, that the President "ordered a broad general plan of illegal surveillance" and charge of its cover-up.

of the Pentagon Papers to nates. plug national security leaks. This led to the break-in of the 1972.

Doar reportedly rejected on the floor." any theory of conspiracy, which requires that two or more persons plot together. Republicans who may vote for and all the rest worked for a nice job and Jenner covered him, Doar reportedly told the a needed area pointing out our committee.

information" Doar presented persuasive with some memto back up his case he argued bers." that the committee must deedge.

"The critical question this dent. committee must decide is whether the President was said Doar "analyzed the facts duped by his closest political as a lawyer would analyze associates or whether they were in fact carrying out his policies and decision," the summary contends. "This question must be decided one way or the other."

ay or the other."
The summary adds: "It must be decided whether the President was duped by his subor-dinates into believing that his personal agents and his key political associates were involved in a program of illegal electronic surveillance for his political purposes; or whether, in fact, Richard M. Nixon, in violation of the sacred obligation of his constitutional oath, authorized illegal intelligence-gathering activities against his political opponents."

The committee must also decide whether it believes that Mr Nixon "used the power of his high office for over two years to coverup and conceal responsibility for the Wtaergate burglary and other activi-Wtaerties of a similar nature."

The summary referred to the April 30, 1973, speech in which Mr. Nixon insisted that he repeatedly was advised that no one in his administration was involved.

. The committee has to decide whether in his statement of April 30 the President American people, or whether that statement was part of a pattern of conduct designed designed not to take care that the laws were faithfully executed, but tion in his political interest and on his behalf," the summary states.

Both Doar and Jenner menwas in tioned, but reportedly did not charge of its cover-up.

This was a reference to creation of the White House plumbers," set up at the press hard, the views of James Madison, who helped write the Constitution, that a President can be held responting the evidence justifies

President's order after release | sible for acts of his subordi | peachment on four separate

Jenner reportedly told the committee that, "Where there office of Daniel Ellsberg's psy- is secrecy and concealment chiatrist in 1971 and of Demo- you have to infer there is cratic headquarters at the something wrong. You may not Watergate complex here in see the hand go in the cookie jar, but you can see the crumbs

Rep. Tom Railsback (R-III.), one of a half-dozen committee The President was in charge impeachment, said: "Doar did awesome responsibility to the In a 3-6-page "summary of Constitution. I think it will be

"He became a prosecutor tocide whether the misdeeds day, there's no question about were directed by Mr. Nixon or that," said Rep. Charles Sandcarried out without his knowl- man (R-N.J.), considered a likely supporter of the Presi-

> Rep. Barbara Jordan (D-Tex.) them. As the facts have been they developed, they would not be exculpatory on the part of the President."

Rep. Robert McClory (R-Ill.), second senior committee Republican who sounds like a possible vote for impeachment, said of Doar's speech: "He didn't convince me, but he performed very capably."

the Democratic members considered least likely to vote for impeachment, said Doar had not convinced them. Flowers said Doar hit hardest at President Nixon's failure to carry out his constitutional mandate to "take care" that the laws be faithfully executed.

Rep. Edward Mezvinsky (D-Iowa) said Doar's presentation the staff. directed the committee squarely down the road of impeachment. "The burden has shifted markedly in the direction against the President," he said.

Rep. Don Edwards (D-Calif.) said Doar "spoke from the heart and from a devotion to the Constitution. It was very subjective speech and very impressive."

Rep. Jerome Waldie (D-Calif.), one of the first to call for the President's impeachwas telling the truth to the ment, called Doar's speech one of the two or three moving incidents of the 10-week series of closed hearings. "Doar was expressing his emotional trauma in concluding that the be im-

The summary of evidence volume which Doar gave.committee members yesterday contains the evidence he be-

believes

charges

One is a broad Watergate charge, broken down into the break-in, the cover-up, payment of hush money, promise of executive clemency, "deception and concealment," and receiving details of the Watergate investigation and relaying them to suspects in his office.

The second charge is abuse of power by the President. Specific acts cited are illegal wiretaps, creation of the "plumbers," misuse of the Internal Revenue Service to harass enemies, refusing to spend appropriated funds, knowing of but failing to act on Richard G. Kleindienst's untruthful testimony to the Senate Judiciary Committee when he was the President's nominee for Attorney General, and use of federal funds to improve the President's homes at San Clemente and Key Biscayne.

The other evidence Doar gave the committee in condensed form supported articles of impeachment against the President for tax evasion and for contempt of Congress for his defiance of committee subpoenas for material for its inquiry. Mr. Nixon underpaid income taxes by more than \$400,000 from 1969 to 1973 by taking deductions-later disal-Reps. James Mann (D-S.C.) lowed—for donation of his and Walter Flowers (D-Ala.), pre-presidential 'papers and for failing to declare capital gains on real estate transactions.

The five sets of draft articles which Doar presented the committee are not identified by author. But one set of four articles parallels the evidence Doar gave the committee and presumably was prepared by

That staff set of four arties begins with this cles preamble:

"Resolved, that the House of Representatives does impeach, Richard M. Nixon, of high crimes and misdemeanors in the conduct of his office, as set forth in the following articles, in violation of the sacred obligation of his constitutional oath, faithfully to execute the office of the President of the United States, and, to the best of his ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, and in violation of his constitutional duty to take care that the laws be faithfully executed, all in the manner marked by concealment and public deception, contrary to his trust as President, unmindful of the solemn duties of his high of-fice, to the manifest injury of the confidence of the nation lieves would support articles and the great prejudice of the cause of law and justice and eves subversion of constitutional im-government."