• JUL 2 0 1974 necke Test onflic **WXPost**

By Lawrence Feinberg Washington Post Staff Writer

presenting its perjury case yesterday against California Lt. Gov. Ed Reinacks / The Senate bearing to prove the 1972 Republican National Convention if it were held in San Diego.

The Senate bearing to prove the 1972 Republican National Convention if it were held in San Diego. Lt. Gov. Ed Reinecke by reading to the jury about an hour's worth of Reinecke's testimony before a grand jury last win-

When Reinecke's trial began on Tuesday in U.S. District Court, Watergate Assistant Special Prosecutor Richard J. Davis said the lieutenant governor would "turn out to be the most important witness against himself."

indictment perjury against Reinecke charges that against Reinecke charges that he lied to the committee twice about when he informed then Attorney General John N. Mitchell that Sheraton Hotels,

an ITT subsidiary, had offered offer in May and June, 1971. up to \$400,000 for the 1972 Re-This was just before the anti-

The Senate hearings dealt with the nomination of Rich-case was pending. ard G. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

After publication of a memo from ITT lobbyist Dita Beard, the committee tried to determine whether the ITT offer influenced the Justice Department to agree to the out-ofcourt settlement of its antitrust case against the giant conglomerate, which was announced on July 31, 1971.

read yesterday Reinecke directly contradicted statements he made to the Senate Judiciary Committee in April, 1972, about the International Telephone and Telegraph Corp. case.

The perium of the White House. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, 1972, Reinecke testified that he did not discuss the ITT pledge with Mitchell until two months after the antitrust case was settled. This testimony corroborated what Mitchell had told the committee of the Senate Portion of the Senate Portion of the Senate Portion of the White House. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, thouse. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, thouse. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, thouse. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, thouse. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, thouse. They all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little."

At the hearing on April 19, they all say the same thing, which is very little." hell had told the committee ate committee, but said that earlier.

trust settlement, although Reinæcke said he didn't know until much later that the legal

Reinecke told the grand jury that the purpose of his phone calls to Mitchell was "to bring him up to date on details ... about the progress of the convention, particularly the financial commitments."

Mitchell's reaction, he said, vas, "encouraging, tolerant nd fatherly," but Reinecke was, "encoura and fatherly," added: "He was like most of those fellows in the White

Reinecke certainly did not tell