Judge in Libel Suit

The judge pointed out that the figure asked for by Mr. Maheu in his suite.

The judge pointed out that the figure asked for by Mr. Maheu could be ignored by the jury, which can award less—or more—in damages if it feels justified. He rejected the argument by Mr. Schlei that it is "extortion" to base the penalty on what Summa Corporation, formerly the Hughes Tool Company, through which Mr. Hughes owns most of his property and industries. It may be worth billions.

The order is part of a consenting Mr sert in the state of the suite.

The judge pointed out that the figure asked for by Mr. Maheu could be ignored by the jury, which can award less—or more—in damages if it feels justified. He rejected the argument by Mr. Schlei that it is "extortion" to base the penalty on what Summa can bear.

The order is part of a court action between Summa—representing Mr. Hughes—and Robert A. Maheu, formerly the secretive billionaire's top executive in Nevada

cretive billionaire's top executive in Nevada.

Mr. Maheu won a libel suit against Mr. Hughes for Mr. Hughes's remark, in a telephone news conference, that Mr. Maheu had been dismissed because "he stole me blind." The same jury is scheduled to begin consideration Oct. 8 of how much Mr. Hughes must nav how much Mr. Hughes must pay Mr. Maheu in damages.

The judge ordered attorneys for Summa to reveal the concern's net worth, gross assets, income and profits to be used

Asks Financial Data
On Hughes Concern

NYTIMES JUL 19 1974
LOS ANGELES, July 18 (UPI) — Howard R. Hughes's main holding company has been ordered to reveal confidentially to a Federal judge how much it is worth, to help determine how