DIFFERENCES CITED IN PANEL VERSION OF 8 NIXON TAPES

Rodino Committee Members Say Discrepancies Could Hurt the President

A COMPARISON BY STAFF

Variations Noted Between Latest, Transcripts and. White House Ones

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM Special to The New York Times -WASHINGTON,-July-9-The House Judiciary Committee released today eight transcripts its staff had prepared of President Nixon's Watergate conversations, and committee mem-bers of both parties said that the marked differences from the edited transcripts made public by the White House

Comparison of texts of tapes, Pages 18 to 21.

could damage President Nixon's case in the impeachment inquiry.

addition, the committee published a side-by-side com-parison made by the staff of the significant differences between the two sets of transcripts. Officially, however, the committee and its staff refrained from characterizing the variations.

Some of the discrepancies
Were already known as a result
of the unauthorized disclosure of various passages by Judiciary Committee members. But a full comparison of the differences was not possible until to-

Major Discrepancies

Among the major discrep-ancies between the two sets of transcripts are the following:

The committee's version contains several comments by Nixon that could indicate in the view of critics, that he knew of and sanctioned the Watergate _cover-up before March 21, 1973—the date he says he first learned the facts of the case

The committee's transcripts include additional statements by the President that could also indicate to critics his acquiescence in a payoff to buy the silence of one of the Watergate conspirators.

¶The newly released docu-ments contain suggestions by the President that his aides-"stonewall" the investigating authorities rather than tell them the truth.

The President is depicted in the committee's version as having been pleased with the work of John W. Dean 3d, his former legal counsel, in keeping the cover on the Watergate case through the 1972 election.

Ziegler Critical of Panel

At the White House today, the President's press secretary, Ronald L. Ziegler, insisted again that Mr. Nixon had not been involved in the Watergate cover-up and accused the Judiciary Committee of "dribbling out" its evidence in a "hypoed

public relations campaign."

And the President's defense lawyer, James D. St. Clair, said that there were not "significant differences" and that "if you give the tapes to three people you get three variations" of what was said.

However, some of the committee members who could be. reached after today's hearing ended this evening said that they had found the discrepancies to be serious.

Representative Robert F. Dribnan, Democrat of Massachusetts, said that "the mere fact of the alterations—so careless and leaving out things—of it-self lowers the credibility of the White House."

A senior Democratic official, who asked not to be identified by name, said that "there are Continued on Page 21, Column I

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8 The Judiciary Committee plained that they have audio chairman, Representative Peter iequipment: superior to that in many differences, and many of the service of the superior of that in many differences, and many of the service of the superior of that in many differences, and many of the service of the superior of that in many differences are damaging to the Press dent."

Among Republicans, Representative Wiley Mayne of Iowa, ancies between the White Houses of dismonths that the many discreption in the transcript of the President, said that he point out the Committee transcripts sent to provide a significant of the President said that he point out the Committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning was so concerned about one for additional tapes in its impartant and the committee's need variation of tone or meaning wa

convicted Watergate conspira-tors, was that Mr. Kalmbach spent—six months raising about \$450,000 and distributing

about \$450,000 and distributing it, through intermediaries, to Mr. Hunt and other defendants. The President's—statement might indicate to critics, therefore, that he knew in February that money had been paid for defendants. He has contended that he did not know of those payments until March 21.

At the March 13 meeting, according to the committee transcript, the President and Mr. Dean had the following ex-

change:

Mr. Nixon Uh, is it too
late to, to, frankly, go the
hang-out road? Yes it is,

Mr. Dean: I think it is. I
think—here's the—the hang-

Mr. Axon. The hang-out road's going to have to be re-

road's going to have to be rejected. I, some, I understand it was rejected.

'Hang-out' is the term used by the President and his aides throughout the transcripts to mean airing the full truth.

The White House transcript does not show Mr. Nixon saying, "Yes it is," and does not contain the sentences about the option's having been "rejected."

At the morning meeting on

At the morning meeting on March 21, at which, according to the President, Mr. Dean first laid out the facts of the case, the White House transcript has Mr. Nixon saying, "I am surprised by what you told me today."

In the Judiciary Committee transcript, the President says, "I'm rather surprised at what you_told_me_today."

On the question of hush money to Mr. Hunt on March 21, the committee transcript shows what may be a pertinent difference. difference.

It has the President saying to

21

Benjamin C. West, superintendent of the House Press Gallery, distributing copies of the Judiciary Committee's version of Presidential tapes.

Mr. Dean, "Would you agree that that's a buy-time thing. You better damn-well get that done, but fast?" __In_the_White_House_tran

the President says, script, "Would_you agree that that's

the prime thing that you damn well better get that done?

Then, speaking of the Hunt demand for money, the President, according to the committee version, said, "Well, for Christ's sake get it."

The White House transcript

deleted the words, "for Christ's sake."
Punctuation Differences

Sometimes, the inclusion or omission of a punctuation mark seems to give a different mean-ing to a statement in the transcripts

At the meeting on the after-noon of March 21, the Presi-dent and Mr. Dean were dis-cussing the question of Mr. Hunt's demand for money, and Mr. Dean remarked that Mr. Mr. Dean remarked that Mr. Mitchell and a campaign official, Frederick C. LaRue, were "aware" of the situation.

Mitchell-Nixon Talk

Mitchell-Nixon Talk

According to the White House with the investigative authorities. The White House version has nailed down till past the electronscript, the President saying that "flexition."

True. Are they going to do He told Mr. Mitchell that he bility "was necessary "in order did not care what happened; to get off the cover-up line."—room, Mr. Nixon remarked-to-moment adding: "I want you all to Ever since Mr. Dean began Mr. Mitchell, "I was going to dicates that the statement was stonewall it, let them plead the accusing the President of company that the statement was stonewall it, let them plead the accusing the President of company that the statement was stonewall it, let them plead the accusing the President of company that the statement was stonewall it, let them plead the accusing the President of company that Dean has really been, not a question. It has the Presi. Fifth Amendment, cover-up or plicity in the cover-up scheme, the White House has taken pains to criticize Mr. Dean and to criticize Mr. Dean a