## St. Clair Says Subpoena Filed By Ehrlichman Is Too Broad

Special to The New York Times

filed last week by John D. ated with the White House spe-Ehrlichman, one of four de-cial investigations unit, set up fendants in the "plumbers" in 1971 by President Nixon to case.

Judge Gerhard A. Gesell of United States District Court, James D. St. Clair, the President's Watergate counsel, argued that Mr. Ehrlichman's subpoena for 32 items had not poenaed by Mr. Ehrlichman demonstrated that they were could be relevant. If so, the

mit him to call for national of the case. security documents that could In his motion Mr. St. Clair did prove his innocence, called not specifically make a claim May 31 for seven top officials of Presidential privilege in coning Alexander M. Haig Jr., the he has in other matters, but White House chief of staff, and said instead that, because of Secretary of State Kissinger the broad nature of the sub-to provide any information poena, "it is not possible to in their files relating to leaks identify or locate the items to of classified information and to the extent that they may exist." Dr. Daniel Ellsberg.

Mr. Ehrlichman, former chief domestic adviser to the Presi-

WASHINGTON, June 6 — dent, and three other defen-The White House moved today dants were indicted last March to quash what it termed a for conspiring to burglarize the office of Dr. Ellsberg's former psychiatrist in 1971. At security documents the time, the men were associstop newspaper leaks. In a motion filed before group's first target was Dr.

"evidentiary and relevant." White House would have to Mr. Ehrlichman, responding provide the materials or risk to the court's decision to per-censure and possible dismissal

of the Government — includ-nection with the documents, as

THE FRESH AIR FUND. PLEASE GIVE.

The New Hork Times

Published: June 7, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times