An Explanation: Why House Unit Issued Subpoena For Tapes of 45 More Watergate Conversations

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM Special to The New York Times

Given President Nixon's aping subpoenaed "only to give variety firm resolve to rethe President an opportunity to communicated with Charles W. lease no more Watergate evidence, why did the House Juthat sheds light on the truth." Colson, then a White House special counsel, about the production of committee with the production of the production of the committee with the production of the pr

needs to determine the truth about Watergate, the committee's members and staff are

transcripts made public by the viable. Representative Walter Flowers scripts.

of Alabama, perhaps the most On Dec. 8, 1972, Mr. Hunt's

The committee's staff be-spects of clemency. extra effort yesterday to issue lieves that the words of the

convinced that the additional show that Mr. Nixon rejected that he had just brought up the tapes are necessary to fill missing links in the chain of evidence.

The edited transcripts see to said two days later, on Jan. 5, dicates that Snapiro reported that he had just brought up the to Colson on his conversation the idea of granting Mr. Hunt matter of clemency with Mr. Wixon.

The question, of course, is also days later, on Jan. 5, dicates that Snapiro reported that he had just brought up the document of the idea of granting Mr. Hunt matter of clemency with Mr. Wixon. been convicted in the Water-Having heard 19 tapes regate conspiracy case, because cordings and gone over the the President did not believe more than 1,200 pages of edited that clemency was politically

conservative Democrat on the wife was killed in an airplace ing. The tapes might show the committee, said ysterday that crash. Afterward, according to President's initial reaction to WASHINGTON, May 31 — the additional material was be- testimony before the Senate the idea of clemency.

a subpoena for the tapes of 45 President and his aides on the White House consel, told the ment.

The answer is twofold.

The answer is twofold.

The answer is twofold.

The answer is twofold. First, the committee was laying the foundation for a possible article of impeachment
against Mr. Nixon for refusing to provide material sought in a formal subpoena.

Second Magnite Mr. Nixon for Refusing to E. Howard Hunt Jr. or authorized payments to him in return for his silence.

What follows is an avalance of Mr. Nixon to E. Howard Hunt Jr. or authorized payments to him in return for his silence.

What follows is an avalance of Mr. Dean, and later John D. Ehrlichman, testified that he met with Mr. Colson's law partner. David I Shapiro on March What follows is an explana-that Mr. Ehrlichman, testified ner, David I. Shapiro, on March that Mr. Ehrlichman told Mr. 16 and told him that he needed Second, despite Mr. Nixon's contention that the committee already has all the evidence it wersations might be critical.

What follows is an explanation of the Mr. Ehrlichman told Mr. Colson not to discuss the matter with the President.

In conversations included in the edited transcripts, the President the edited transcripts, the President apparently recalled this March 21, at the time that transcripts made public by the White House a month ago, most members of the committee think that the evidence of the President's participation or lack of involvementain the Water-gate cover-up is monoclusive. That clemency was politically viable, and the transcripts themselves, themselves, think that the evidence of the President's participation or lack of involvementain the Water-gate cover-up is monoclusive. The politically viable, and the transcripts themselves, the president and the transcripts themselves, themselves, the president and the transcripts themselves, the president apparently recalled this discussion with Mr. Colson, In his conversation March 21, at the time that discussion with Mr. Dean, Mr. Nixon mentioned "a discussion with Mr. Colson, In his conversation March 21, at the time that discussion with Mr. Dean, Mr. Nixon mentioned "a discussion with Mr. Colson, In his conversation March 21, at the time that discussion with Mr. Dean, Mr. Nixon mentioned "a discussion with Mr. Dean, Mr. I said of course commutation could be considered on the basis of his wife's death."

of parenthetically, said I had a little problem today, talking about Hunt, and said I sought to reassure him, you know, and so forth. A I said, well. Told me about Hunt's wife. I said lowing the payment to Mr. it was a terrible thing, and I hunt, and it occurred just besaid obviously we will do just, we will take that into consideration."

answer.

The next morning, on March 22, the President met with H. R. Haldeman, his chief of staff. It was their first meeting following the payment to Mr. Hunt, and it occurred just besaid obviously we will do just, fore Mr. Haldeman was to attend a meeting with John N. Mitchell, the former campaign sideration."

Two of the taped conversastions sought by the Judiciary given final approval for the
committee in its latest subpoena are an hour-long meeting between the President and poenaed the tape of that Nixon-Mr. Colson on the afternoon of Haldeman meeting, believing Jan. 5, 1973, and a 20-minute that it might show if the Presitelephone conversation be-dent was implicated in the paytween the two men that even-ment of hush money.

members question whether the John W. Dean 3d, former President authorized the pay-

The committee is also seek-

According to a committee Clemency

Despite this warning, according to a committee memorandum, "material in the possession of the committee instance that the bad just brought in the committee instance.

The edited transcripts see to said two days later, on Jan. 5, dicates that Shapiro reported

The question, of course, is whether Mr. Colson then men-

Did the President say, perof his wife's death."

Later, talking with Mr. Ehrlichman on April 14, 1973, the President remembered that the conversation was with Mr. Colson. Mr. Nixon said it "was about 5:30 or 6 o'clock that Colson only dropped it in sort of parenthetically, said I had a little problem today, talking

Mitchell, the former campaign