2 NEW SUBPOEN TO NIXON FOR DATA

Some on the Impeachment Panel Say Transcripts Omit Significant Material

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

WASHINGTON, May 15-The House Judiciary Committee issued today two new subpoenas for White House tape-recordings and other documents amid charges by some committee members that significant portions of President Nixon's Watergate conversations had been omitted from edited White House transcripts.

In a series of votes on the two subpoenas, the committee

Text of the memorandums on tape subpoenas, Page 26.

demanded this morning that the President turn over to its impeachment inquiry the tape recordings of 11 Watergate-related conversations as well as diaries of Mr. Nixon's White (1045) House meetings over more than eight months in 1972 and 1973. The committee has not seen any of this material, either in tape or other documentary form. Two White House recordings

previously obtained by the Judiciary Committee were played for the panel members this afternoon, prompting several Democrats to increase their resolve to obtain tapes, and not transcripts, of the relevant Watergate conversations.

Significance Disputed

Two Democratic members of the panel, Representatives Robert F. Drinan of Massachusetts and Jerome R. Waldie of Cali-fornia, told reporters after hear-ing the tape of a Sept. 15, 1972, White House conversation that material had ben omitted from the White House transcripts not because it was inaudible but, as Mr. Waldie stated it, 'because of the content."

Both Democrats declined to specify the nature of the missing material, however, and some Republicans on the committee said that they did not regard the omissions as serious

or deliberate.
"The only thing that was deleted was the expletives, nothing of substance," Representalive Delbert L. Latta, Republican of Ohio, said after the four-hour closed hearing at which recordings were played for about 40 minutes.

According to one committee source, however, the Sept. 15 tape contained a threat by the President to take action against The Washington Post and its attorney, Edward Bennett Williams. The President, according to the source, specifically noted that The Post owned television stations and said, "The main thing is The Washington Post is going to have a damnable, damnable thing out of this アア ハハイ

The new subpoenas, which "commanded" Mr. Nixon to supply the recordings and diaries by next Wednesday, were the first step in a renewed and bipartisan effort by the Judiciary Committee to obtain tapes and documents that Mr. Nixon has so far refused to yield.

John M. Doar, the commit-Continued on Page 26, Column 1

inswer on whether Mr. Nixon Lott of Mississippi. would voluntarily supply reordings of 66 other conversaions bearing on pledges of for diaries covering four disarge political contributions to tinct periods that Mr. Doar he President's re-election campaign by dairy industry groups and the International Telephone vote,

fr., Democrat of New Jersey, meetings and telephone conver said that if the White House refused to supply the I.T.T. and

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3 inson in opposing an April 4 subpoena of 42 other Water-lee's special counsel on im-gate discussions voted with the beachment, said that he would majority today. They were neet tomorrow with White Representatives Charles E. Wig-House lawyers to get a final gins of California and Trent

took four The committee separate votes on the subpoena "orucial" junctures in the Watergate scandal. On each solid, bipartisan a and Telegraph Corporation.

The committee chairman, Representative Peter W. Rodino

The committee chairman, and the diaries, which are, in effect, logs of Mr. Nixon's daily sations.

Nixon Aide Limited

Nixon Aide Limited

James D. St. Clair, the President's chief defense attorney, and two associates sat in the audience in the austere, and two associates sat in the audience in the austere, and two associates sat in the audience in the austere, crowded meeting room. But Mr. St. Clair's activities were limited to occasional whispered asides and laughter at some light-hearted banter that occurred in the midst of the serious legal ritual of the seri

Little perceptible drama but much history was involved in the Judiciary Committee's decision to subpoena the President a second time. Before the banel's first formal demand for White House evidence six weeks ago, no President had ever been served with a Congressional subpoena.

The White House had no official reply today to the new subpoenas, but Gerald L. Warren, the deputy press secretary, told reporters he knew of no plans by the President to back down from his decision last week to reject any requests or subpoenas for more evidence on the Watergate case.

Was entitled to take part in evidentiary hearings, his role at committee deliberations was "as a spectator, as any other member of the public."

Mr. Rodino did permitthe President's attorney, however, to submit informally two memorandums opposing the ne subpoenas on the ground that the committee already has enough evidence to complete the Watergate phase of its inquiry. But one Democratic member, Representative John F. Seiberling Jr. of Ohio, dismissed the St. Clair documents as "the most incredible mishmash of irrelevancies I've ever seen," and the committee disregarded their basic argument. Closed Hearings Resume

Closed Hearings Resume

Closed Hearings Resume
After the three-hour public meeting on the subpoenas, the committee resumed for the third day, closed hearings on evidence related to the attempt to cover up the Watergate case.

Mr. Rodino said that two tapes, to taling about 40 minutes, were played at the closed hearing. The first was of a meeting on June 30, 1972, between President Nixon and two key former associates—H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, and former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, then the director of the President's 1972 re-election campaign.

Mr. Hutchinson has opposed the issuance of any subpoenas on the premise that they are unenforceable and provide only for a constitutional collision between the White House and Cogress.

But two other Republicans After the three-hour public meeting on the subpoenas, the committee resumed for the third day, closed hearings on evidence related to the attempt to cover up the Watergate case.

Mr. Rodino said that two tapes, totaling about 40 minutes, were played at the closed hearing. The first was of a meeting on June 30, 1972, between President Nixon and two key former associates—H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, and former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, then the director of the President's 1972 re-election campaign.

Meeting On the subpoenas, the committee resumed for the third day, closed hearings on evidence related to the attempt to cover up the Watergate case.

Mr. Rodino said that two tapes, totaling about 40 minutes, were played at the closed hearing. The first was of a meeting on June 30, 1972, between President Nixon and two key former associates—H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, and former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, then the director of the President's 1972 re-election campaign.

Meeting On the subpoenas, the chird day, closed hearings on evidence related to the attempt to cover up the Watergate case.

Mr. Rodino said that two tapes, the closed hearing.

Mr. Hutchinson has opposed as on the premise that tw

news briefing late today, to after the Watergate burglary characterize his own reaction three days earlier. to the recordings or to the por-White House transcript.

he had not yet concluded "if Intelligence Agency in an effort

Representative William Cohen, Republican of Maine, said in an interview this evening that he believed the edited transcripts gave "a worse impression" of Mr. Nixon's conversations than did the tapes He said that "if the tapes. He said that "it would be misleading" to suggest important passages had been excised because they were "damning.

But Father Drinan, a Roman Catholic priest who is leading Democratic critic of the President, said of the Sept. 15 con-

Three meetings between Mr tions of the Sept. 15 conversa- Nixon and Mr. Haldeman on tion deleted from the edited June 23, 1972, the day that White House officials allegedly The committee chairman said sought to involve the Central the failure to include some of to thwart the Watergate investi the material was deliberate or gation by he Federal Bureau of investigation.

Clues to Nixon's Role

Mr. Doar told the committee that the three sets of tapes could determine "whether or not" Mr. Nixon knew of the political eavesdropping scheme, what the President's "action or inaction" may have been in the early stages of the cover-up attempt, and "what approach" Mr. Nixon wanted the C.I.A. and F.B.I. to take in the invertiand F.B.I. to take in the investi-

achment inquiry, endorsed Mr. Doar's subpoena request and said he hoped, as the lawyer for the panel's minority, that the tapes would contain "exonerative material" clearing the President of any wrongdoing.

A Severe Judgment

Mr. Waldie, another Democratic critic of Mr. Nixon, would not specify the material he believed to have been cut from the Sept. 15 transcript. But he said that "there was quite a bit" and that the tape itself had left him with a more severe judgment than before of what he called "the shabbiness of the President."

Representative Edward Mezvinsky, Democrat of Iowa, so hat after hearing the rorded conversation ide was one coon" abor"



The New York Times

Representative Charles B. Rangel, New York Democrat, trying out headphones for listening to the tapes.

Mr. Waldie, another Demoratic Chilco Mr. Nixon, would not specify the material he became cut row the Sept. 15 tranself and the tages would instituted to have been cut row the Sept. 15 tranself and the tage itself had get him with a me severe pludgment than before of what lad left him with a me severe judgment than before of what lad left him with a me severe judgment than before of what called "the shabbiness" of the President."

Representative Edward Mezvinsky, Democrat of Iowa, said that defer hearing the two recorded conversations his attillation and the resident sharp with the democrations. The withe House record ings earlier this year from the were, as did Representative between the Judiciary Committee obstained 19 White House record.

Mr. Dar countered, how watergate discussions, however, the President source by the subpoena denormers than before and april 11 subpoena denormers than before and after the suppoena denormers than before and after the panel deprived the subpoena denormers than before and after the panel deprived the photh with the panel subpoena and mr. At Mr. Dennis's insistence, to the subpoena denarded the graph of the subpoena denarded the subpoen

ly approved the political intelligence-gathering plan.

Two meetings and four telephone conversations involving the President, Mr. Haldeman and Charles W. Colson, then a White House special counsel, of June 20, 1972, the first day Mr. Nixon's at the White House involving the Watergate investing at the Watergate investing at the Watergate investing as planned.

Might prove to be relevant.

Ton a roll-call vote of 32 to disrupted the committee's time-disrupted th