## Discussed Yeoman's Case

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

White House counsel J. Fred Buznardt says the question of whether to bring formal charges against a Navy yeoman suspected of pilfering top-secret White House documents in 1971 was discussed with President Nixon at the time but that Rushardt cill Buzhardt says the question of time, but that Buzhardt still doesn't know who actually decided not to prosecute in the highly sensitive case.

Buzhardt's acknowledgement that the President was personally involved in the situation is contained in testimony taken before a closed session of the Senate Armed Services Committee on March but released only Wednesday

Testimony taken from other witnesses by the committee in February—when the so-called "military spying" case was attracting considerable attention generally was made public within two weeks.

The case involves charges that certain top-secret White House documents were pilfered from files and briefcases by Navy Yeoman Charles E. Radford; passed by Radford to his bosses in the White House liaison office maintained by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and that at least two batches of documents .eventually were passed on to Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, chairman of the joint chiefs.

Previous testimony has revealed sharp conflicts between Radford and his last boss, Adm. Robert O. Welander, as to whether Radford was asked to carry out such unorthodox means of gathering documents, by Welander and, before him, by Adm. Rembrandt C. Robinson.

The most recent testimony Buzhardt, however, raises still other questions concerning possible conflicts in what Welander has said about Moorer's awareness of the origin of some of the pilfered material eventually sent to

Also, two of the senators on the committee raised new questions about whether Radford had committed perjury in some of his statements con-cerning meetings with columnist Jack Anderson.



ADM. THOMAS H. MOORER . . . was given secret data

Buzhardt was a key witness because the White House counsel, in 1971, was serving as the Pentagon's top lawyer and was asked by then fense Secretary Melvin Laird to carry out his own investigation into allegations that Radford had leaked secret material to Anderson. It was during this investigation that it became known inside the government that documents also had been "retained" and transferred back to the Pentagon in an unauthorized fashion.

deputy, Gen. Alexander M. Haig Jr.

According to Buzhardt, when aware of the method by which assume that information

Radford had obtained the doc- had received was not sent by uments on the trips. Welander members of the NSC staff. said he informed the chairman At another point, Buzhardt generally of the way in which contradict Moorer's were obtained."

(D-Mo.) asked Buzhardt.

him," Buzhardt answered.

Senate committee, however, top officials. Welander was questioned twice about what he told Moorer concerning the origin of the material supplied by Radford. House anything. I

indication that he told Moorer they might have been gathered in an unorthodox fashion.

Buzhardt said he concluded in his report to Laird that it was "improbable that the chairman would have been provided access, authorized acness, to these documents" and The key documents were that from Laird's perspective The key documents were that from Lairus persecure those taken by Radford during of what communications chantrips to Asia with presidential nels there should be between national security adviser the chairman of the Joint Chiefs and the National Secudeputy, Gen. Alexander M. rity Council there were walked to the chairman of the Lairus persecure that from Lairus persecure "abuses and excesses."
While this appeared to be

he interviewed Welander in criticism of Moorer at other late 1971, the admiral points Buzhardt aid there was "acknowledged that he was no reason for the chairman to

of the Joint Chiefs of Staff said he had found nothing to explathe documents from the trips nation that he had been previously informed of the contents "What do you mean by of various documents passed to that?" Sen. Stuart Symington him. Moorer has said that he paid little attention to the origin of this material—even "That Radford had obtained though conceding that one them surreptitiously, that though conceding that one memo—of secret conversations they had not been given to did catch his attention—because he had been previously On Feb. 21, 1974, before the briefed on the subject matter by either Kissinger or other

Buzhardt did give an "individual judgment" to the committee under questioning that Welander had made a "serious error in judgment" in

welander replied: "If I said anything, I probably said: "Here are some of the reports from Dr. Kissinger's trip, or something of that sort ... This is something that Radford brought back from the trip with Dr. Kissinger." The admiral at that time gave no lindication that he told Woorer N. Mitchell, Laird and former Presidential domestic affairs adviser John D. Ehr-