The Tapes: Hard Questions for Mr. Nixon

White House lawyers are disappointed and exasperated with the technical expert they hired to study the famous 18½ minute tape erasure, a fact likely to further deepen President Nixment inquiry. on's difficulties in the House impeach-

tion with Dr. Michael Hecker, the West Coast technician retained by the White House two months ago, has become obvious in recent weeks. That strongly suggests that Hecker's findthe court-appointed experts: the gap in the vital June 20, 1972, Oval Office conversation could not have been acciings will not be far from the verdict of Nixon lawyer James St. Clair's irrita

evitably be called on to personally ex-plain the gap for the first time. Inica. If the White House cannot produce of late but not gone, will again plague connection with the tape erasure. deed, members of the House Judiciary Committee are determined to pursue expert rebuttal, the President will in technical findings to Judge John Sir-Mr. Nixon when the experts submit the sensitive question of Mr. Nixon's The erased 18½ minutes, forgotten

Hecker, a senior research engineer at the Stanford (Calif.) Research Instiown expert. The choice was Dr the White House went shopping for its knocking down an accidental erasure, leased its Jan. 15 preliminary report Once the court's panel of experts re-

> about the erasure. What explanation could he give? for him to testify, he would have been questioned "If Mr. Nixon had granted the grand jury request

dent's massive load of problems. erased tape would drop from the Presiwould refute the other experts, the tute. If a scientist of his standing

have no scientific refutation. produce their detailed findings, per-haps next week, the White House will court-appointed experts. That raises with Hecker as he has been with the the probability that when the experts But St. Clair has grown as unhappy

tial secretary Rose Mary Woods, its more likely course will be no action. Rather, the technical report will gener-ate long-delayed attempts to get Mr. Nixon's version. the grand jury might indict presidenof federal grand jury no. 3. Although The matter will then be in the hands

H. R. Haldeman on June 20, the first Steve Bull-handled the June 20 tape. they played no part in erasing a por-tion of Mr. Nixon's conversation with Both Miss Woods and Bull have sworn Miss Woods and presidential According to Secret Service logs, nly three persons—the President, aide

> day the Watergate

makes these questions so pertinent is Mr. Nixon's declaration last July 23 in his letter to Sen. Sam Ervin: "The personal control, will remain so." tapes, which have been under my sole the tapes to anybody else? What in depth about the erasure. What exjury request early this year for him to planation could he give? Did he give testify, he would have been questioned If Mr. Nixon had granted the grand

Watergate sensations. Mr. Nixon was asked nothing then or in later quesdelayed conducting another press conference until Feb. 25. By then, the erased tape had been eclipsed by other ing disclosure to Sirica of the 181/2 minute erasure last Nov. 21, Mr. Nixon did not seize the opportunity. Followto question the President but newsmen tion-and-answer sessions. Not only was the grand jury unable

ary Committee is deeply interested. Its ever the press does, the House Judicion's next press conference. But what-A new report by the experts will probably trigger questions at Mr. Nix-

dence "under my sole control." planation of what happened to solved without the President's own members feel the matter cannot be re-

full committee or its staff. never submit to interrogation by the White House says the President will mittee's two senior members. But the questions or meeting with the comon's offers of responding to written ity of the committee spurns Mr. Nixfor the President. A bipartisan major That poses new trouble in Congress

That Judiciary Committee members now contemplate how to question Mr. Nixon about the erasure indicates that the impeachment proceedings are engreements. seems likely to avoid both an internal Chairman Peter Rodino, the committee dural. Thanks to compromises by stantive and away from the procethe White House over procedural disa partisan split and a confrontation with tering a new phase, toward the sub-

pened to the June 20 tape only adds to his burden. reasonable explanation of what hapity that he will also be asked to give a grand jury in that locked briefcase of the Watergate cover-up. Those who have been given an inkling of the evidence passed to the committee by the now getting involved in the substance feel Mr. Nixon will face a formidable task in responding to it. The probabil-Instead, committee members are

© 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc.