Miss Woods Praises Mitchell and Stans

NYTimes MAR 1 9 1974 By MARTIN ARNOLD

The prosecution put Rose paign. Mary Woods, President Nixon's Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans personal secretary, on the witness stand in the Mitchell-Stans are accused of perjury, conness stand in the Mitchell-Stans

ways, as far as the prosecution bution. Mr. Vesco, who was also dinners at the White House or was concerned. She was called indicated, had fled the country. "state affairs" at the White was concerned. She was called indicated, had fled the country. as a witness to show that a list But during cross-examination of contributors to President the defense succeeded in intro-Nixon's re-election campaign—ducing into evidence a second House by Mr. Stans on Nov. sent by Maurice H. Stans to the list-of the very largest con-White House-did not include the name of Robert L. Vesco.

This was to support a contention by the prosecution that John N. Mitchell, the former Attorney General, and Mr. Stans, former Secretary of Commerce, were attempting to hide the fact of Mr. Vesco's contribution. It was \$200,000 in cash, which was described as the largest

cash contribution to the cam-tributors-that was also sent

trial yesterday, and she prompt-ly told the jury that each de-fendant was "a fine man."

The property and obstruction of jus-tice for allegedly attempting to impede a Securities and Ex-change Commission investiga-Miss Woods's testimony was tion of Mr. Vesco's financial also a mixed blessing in other affairs in return for the contri-

NEWS INDEX

Page	Pag
Books41	Movies28-3
Bridge40	Music28-3
Business46-62	Obituaries3
Chess40	Op-Ed3
Crossword41	Society
Editorials36	Sports42-4
Family/Style32	Theaters 28-3
Financial46-62	Transportation6
Going Out Guide 30	TV and Radio6
Letters	
News Summary	Index, Page 35

to the White House, and on it was Mr. Vesco's name. It was

This list was of persons who might be invited to "stag" House, Miss Woods said.

It was sent to the White 29, 1972, Miss Woods testified. This was two days after the S.E.C. formally started its investigation of Mr. Vesco's afge fairs.

Miss Woods, who has testified before the Senate Watergate committee, caused the first real stir in the courtroom in the 20 days of this trial. She appeared in a checkered red,

Continued on Page 25, Column 5

Continued From Page I, Col. 7 Vesco's name was on this list with a circle around it and a question mark next to it—the blouse with ruffles down the only one on the list with such front. She carried a light tan markings—the mere fact that attache case and was accomhe was on it at all would tend panied by her attorney, Charles to lend some credence to the S. Rhyne. Her auburn tinted defense's contention that the hair was cut short, and she Vesco contribution was treated wore large earrings. There were noticeable "ahs" from the crowded courtroom when her name was called.

identificactions, the questioning turned to the lists. The first list was of contributors who had given to the campaign before April 7, 1972. On that date a new law went into effect requiring that subsequent contributors be listed, and any list turned over to the General Accounting Office.

HAPIT 7, 1972. But Judge Lee P. Gaglairdi, who is presiding. did not let that into the record, giving no reason for his ruling. "Have you any recollection that Mr. and Mrs. Vesco were invited to dinner [at the White House]?" Mrs. Woods was asked.

"Mr. Vesco probably would have been included in a stage."

and any list turned over to the General Accounting Office.

Before that date, campaign contributions could be kept private. The defense has been centending that Mr. Vesco's \$200.000 contribution to Mr. Stans was handled by Mr. Stans in a routine matter, and that even though there was no legal obligation.

**Mr. Vesco probably would have been included in a stag dinner," she answered. Miss Woods was only on the witness stand for about 30 minutes.

The April 7 date is an important one in this trial because as part of its charge that the defendants obstructed justice. that even though there was no legal obligation to make it legal obligation to make it public, there was no attempt to cover it up.

Contribution Issue

By introducing this first list, and having Miss Woods identify it, the Government was attempting to show that Mr. Vesco's contribution was not handled in a routine manner because his name was not on the 80-page list, which broke down contributors by state Attention.

But, on cross-examination, the eral Elections, General Account-defense succeeded into getting in Office, who testified that introduced a second list, much smaller, of major contributors, which also was sent to the White House by Mr. Stans.

On this list were the names tribution in the name of Robert "Mr and Mrs. Robert Vesco."

Despite the fact that Mr. 1972.

So, at that point, the Government tried to get into the Asked by James Rayhill, a prosecutor, if she knew Mr. Mitchell she smiled broadly and replied, "I can identify him as a very fine man."

Asked if she was acquainted

Asked if she was acquainted a very fine man."

Asked if she was acquainted with Mr. Stans, she smiled broadly again, and said, "I certainly am—another fine man."

She then smiled at the jurors.

After these preliminary

After these preliminary

The discretions the question
The dan accordance in the reason his name was on the second list. That contribution was made after the new compaign funding law went into effect on April 7, 1972. But Judge Lee P. Gaglairdi, who is presiding.

the Government contends that the unlisted \$200.000 cash contribution, coming three days after that date, was illegal. The defense says that the contribution was arranged for before April 7, so therefore it was perfectly legal not to list it, and that it merely was delivered on April 10.

Miss Woods was preceded on the stand by Hugh W. Sloan Jr., who had been treasurer of the Finance Committee to Reelect the President, which was headed by Mr. Stans.

because his name was not on the 80-page list, which broke down contributors by state. At the time of the contribution—April 10. 1972 — Mr. Vesco lived in New Jersey.

That list also had on it the number 73 after certain names of contributors. The number, it was explained, was a code to indicate that the donor had made his contribution in cash. "Who did you receive this from?" Mr. Rayhill asked the President's secretary.

"Secretary Stans," she answered. At the time Mr. Stans and Mr. Mitchell had left the Government to work full-time on Mr. Nixon's re-election campaign.

"Turning to New Jersey, Miss Woods, is there any listing of Robert Vesco?" Mr. Rayhill asked.

"No, there is not," she replied.

But, on cross-examination, the defense succeeded into getting introduced a second list, much