WITNESS REBUTS MTCHELL ACCOUNT

Ex-White House Aide Says He Was Told to Stay Out of the Vesco Matter

By MARTIN ARNOLD
Daniel Hofgren, a former
White House aide, testifled
yesterday that he had asked
John N. Mitchell if he had had a meeting with Robert L. Vesco on the financier's problems and was told, "You stay away from that."

He added, "When John Mitchell says, 'You stay away from it,' I stay away from it." The line evoked a loud laugh from Mr. Mitchell.

Mr. Hofgren then said that he told the following day he told Maurice H. Stans that "I had run into Mr. Mitchell and that Mitchell said, 'Stay away from the Vesco matter,' and Mr. Stans replied, 'O.K., we'll take care of it in another way.'"

Mr. Hofgren's testimony went to the heart of one of the perthe feather of the officers, the former Attorney General, who had testified before a grand jury on April 24, 1973, that he "never told Mr. Hofgren to stay away from anything."

Other Witnesses

Other developments yester-day relating to the conspiracy

charges against Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans were as follows:

¶Hugh W. Sloan Jr., another former White House aide, testified that a record of Mr. Vesco's secret \$200,000 contribution to President Nixon's relection campaign election campaign was de-stroyed at Mr. Stans's behest.

W. Mark Felt, former second in command of the Fedsecond in command of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, testified that Mr. Mitchell, when he was Attorney General, used the F.B.I.'s overseas

personnel to intercede on be-half of Mr. Vesco who was in a Swiss jail following a suit by Continued on Page 14, Column 2



ter Fleming Jr., right, lawyer for John N. Mitchell, oss-examining Daniel Hofgren, a former White House aide, at the Mitchell-Stans trial here yesterday.

Witness Rebuts Mitchell About Vesco Involvement

Continued From Page 1, Col. 2

Continuea First Stockholders.

But the bulk of the day was devoted to Mr. Hofgren, a tall, plump, well-maniqued man, whose dark hair was slicked down and parted in the middle, in the manner of a Scott Firsterald figure. The prosecution witness is now an investment hanker.

gerald figure. The prosecution witness is now an investment banker.

Mr. Mitchell-and Mr. Stans, who were both leaders of the Nixon re-election campaign, are accused of perjury, obstruction of justice and conspiracy for allegedly attempting to impede a Securities and Exchange Commission investigation of Mr. Vesco in return for the \$200,000 cash contribution.

If was Mr. Hoferen, at the time a fund-raiser for the 1972 Nixon campaign, who had a chance meeting aboard an airliner early in 1972 with a Vesco associate, and suggested to the associate that Mr. Vesco, who is now a fugitive, might wart to make a substantial contribution—other-campaign—the was from that meeting that this criminal conspiracy trial developed.

Mr. Hofgren testified that as a result of that meeting Mr. Vesco set up a meeting Mr. Vesco set up a meeting with Mr. Stans for March 8, 1972, and the latter said that the Nixon campaign needed to raise \$40-million that year because in 1968 the Nixon campaign had cost \$30-million and "inflation had set in." The Nixon campaign had cost \$30-million and "inflation had set in." The Nixon campaign actually raised \$60-million in 1972.

Mr. Hofgren said that Mr. Vesco-responded-that he wanted to be a large contributor, but that he had "a particular problem," and that problem was that he was being investigated by the S.E.C. The witness said Mr. Vesco then told Mr. Stans that he wanted a meeting with William J. Casey, then chairman of the S.E.C. to discuss the case.

"Well, I think Mr. Mitchell cam handle-that,"—the—witness quoted Mr. Stans as telling Mr. So far, there has been no direct testimony on whether Mr. Mitchell saw Mr. Vesco that day. But the witness said that Mr. Stans had told Mr. Vesco that Mr. Mitchell was ready to see him., That evening, according to Mr. Hofgren, he went to a fundraising dinner at the Washington Hilton and saw Mr. Mitchell in the lobby.

"I recall going up to him and saying, 'Did you see Mr. Vesco?'" Mr. Hofgren testified, "And he turned around and said, "You stay away from that, and that was the sum and substance of my conversation."

When he repeated that converation to Mr. Stans, the witness said, Mr. Stans, and "said something to the effect, 'O.K., we will take care of it in another way.'"

Mr. Hofgren, who worked for Mr. Stans as a fund-raiser, testified that even before the March & Stans-Vesco meeting Mr. Yesco's name kept' coming up & the campaign finance head; Wall we should talk to John Mitchell about that, or something to that effect."

Tells of Ride With Mitchell

THE

Talls of Ride With Mitchell—On one other occasion, Mr. Hofgren spoke to Mr. Mitchell about Mr. Vesco, the witness testified. He said that on either Nov. 29 or Nov. 30, 1972, he met Mr. Mitchell at the Washington airport and Mr. Mitchell offered him a ride into town. "In the course of sitting there in the back seat of the car-I-was-reading a newspaper, and I said, 'Jesus, this Vesco is something' and he turned and mumbled something, 'I guess he is a crook,' and that was the total sum of the conversation."

was the total sum of the conversation."

Under cross - examination, Peter Fleming Jr., one of Mr. Mitchell's attorneys, immediately began a hard attack on the witness's credibility.

He said that when Mr. Hofgren had first testified before a grand jury he said that he spoke to Mr. Mitchell about Mr. Vesco on March 6, not March 8.

"I was nervous and made a mistake, Mr. Fleming," the witness said. "I corrected it in my second grand jury."

Mr. Fleming also contended that Mr. Hofgren had testified that he asked Mr. Mitchell a somewhat different question about Mr. Vesco, and that he had asked him in a different place even. Finally the defense attorney ended his cross-examination with "Be a good boy."

Mr. Sloan, who had served

as treasurer of the re-election campaign, testified that he had kept a record in a ledger book of all the large contributions that came into the campaign office.

office.
Sometime after April 7, 1972,
Mr. Sloan testified, he had a
conversation in Mr. Stans's of-

Sometime after April 7, 1972, Mr. Sloan testified, he had a conversation in Mr. Stans's office.

"He gave me, as I recall it, a bank bag, a white bag, and indicated that there were some \$200,000," Mr. Sloan said. "He asked me to count it and verify it, and place it in the safe."

"Whether in response to my question or whether he volunteered it as to who it was from, he simply told me John Mitchell wanted it listed under the initials JM for the time being," Mr. Sloan testified.

Questioned by James Rayhill, a prosecutor, Mr. Sloan was asked about a meeting with Mr. Stans in which they discussed Mr. Sloan's final cash summary list, and the \$200,000 item with the initials J M.

"At that point, he [Stans] indicated to me that 'you have a mistake there' and I said, "Well, that's what my records show," the witness said.

Mr. Sloan added that Mr. Stans had "instructed me to leave the \$200,000 under the initials J M." The witness said that he did not ask Mr. Stans who the contributor was.

There came a time, Mr. Sloan said, that he destroyed his cash record book "pursuant to my understanding of Secretary Stans' instructions to me that he wanted only a single copy of this information."

he wanted only a single copy of this information."

Charges Agaianst Stans

Charges Agalanst Stans
Mr. Sloan's testimony related
to a section of the conspiracy
charge that alleged that Mr.
Stans concealed the origin of
the Vesco contribution from
members of the Finance Committee staff, caused incomplete
records to be made, subsequentthe caused such records to be members of the ribbatte committee staff, caused incomplete records to be made, subsequently caused such records to be destroyed, and finally caused false and fraudulent reports to be filed with the General Accounting Office to conceal the Vesco cash contribution and the uses to which it was put.

"'At that point, he [Stans] insession, Paul J. Curran, the United States Attorney for the Southern District, walked into the courtroom during a recess, huddled with the four prosecutors and then walked to the chambers of Judge Lee P. Gagliardi, who is presiding, for a conference.

Defense attorneys were summoned to that conference was sealed.

Judge Gagliardi told the prosecutors, in the absence of the jury. "I will take care of that-matter before the evening is out and report to you on Monday morning—the results that I have had and we will be ready to go on at 9:45 on Monday morning."

On that mystery, the day concluded.