MAR 1 6 1974

By Jules Witcover Washington Post Staff Writer

The General Accounting Office has ruled it is "legally proper" that taxpayers' money estimated by one source at \$7,222 a month—is being spent to provide staff assistance to provide staff assistance to former Vice President be so supported and has called for clarifying legislation.

In a letter to Rep. John E. Stand a receptionist are occupied "sorting the numerous supported and some company of the president of the president supported and has called for clarifying legislation."

In a letter to Rep. John E. Stand a receptionist are occupied "sorting the numerous proper" that taxpayers' money supported and has called for clarifying legislation.

In a letter to Rep. John E. Stand Company of the numerous proper with the president supported and has called for clarifying legislation.

Congress' watchdog agency "completion of his governmen-has expressed reservations tal affairs." about Congress' intent that a

Specifically, Staats said, refstaff assistants, three secretar-to-provide staff assistance to former Vice President Spirot T. Agnew in a government of-fice across the street from the White House.

At the same time, however, At the same time, however, Spirot At the same time, however, At the same time, however, Spirot At the same time, howev

terday to say how much was being spent on salaries for those working for Agnew, falied to do so. However, a report by a Congressional Quarterly used the \$7,222-a month figure. Two staff assistants are receiving \$21,366 and \$18,583, three socretarios \$12,701, \$19, three secretaries \$13,791, \$12,573 and \$11,765, adn the receptionist \$8,591, CQ said.

Staats reported that Buzhardt had advised him "it is expected" that the salaries and use of government offices—one for Agnew to work in and one to the salary to work in and one to the salary. and one to store his vice presidential papers—will not be continued "beyond the first part of April."

The money to support Agnew's work comes out of appropriations for "special assistance to the President" at the direction of President Nixon, Buzhardt reported, contending that "the use of this tending that "the use of this fund has always been at the President's discretion."

Staats told Moss, however, that he disagreed, and that the purpose of the appropriation was to support a Vice Presi-dent in office, because he had

no staff of his own other than as President of the Senate.

Nevertheless, Staats said, "we are unable to conclude that such funds are not legally small ble" to help a resigned available" to help a resigned Vice President "perform a task which the President deems to be of assistance to him and for which the appropriation would have been available while the former Vice President held office."

Earlier, Moss had also complained about Secret Service protection for Agnew. Staats confirmed that after a GAO finding that payment for such protection was not legal, "we now understand" it was discontinued as of Feb. 17.

Moss said yesterday he was "disappointed" in Staats' finding and would write to him asking for a more diligent search for grounds to bar the

search for grounds to bar the payments.

"In a separate action yesterday, Washington lawyers Joel Joseph and John Banzhaf, a professor at George Washington University, asked Attorney General William B. Saxbe to sue Agnew to recover money he "unlawfully "received" while he was Vice President, as charged by the Justice Department in the tax Justice Department in the tax evasion case that led to Ag new's resignation.