California Drops Break-in Charges

By Timothy S. Robinson Washington Post Staff Writer

The state of California is dropping burglary and conspiracy charges against former White House aides John D. Ehrlichman, David R. Young and G. Gordon Liddy Jr., in connection with the 1971 break-in at the office of Pentagon Papers defendant Daniel Ellsberg's psychiatrist.

However, one count of perjury against Ehrlichman will

be prosecuted in Los Angeles, 4 according to Los Angeles Dis-

Leon Jaworski after an hourlong meeting at Jaworski's oftion with the same break-in.

One of the reasons given for the state dismissal was that the incident in which the three men are charged "involves matters of national are three interest...best decided in the federal court system."

The perjury prosecution, however, will continue because "it involves protection solely of a state interest," Busch said.

former White The three former White House aides were indicted last September by a Los Angeles grand jury that began its investigation after it became public knowledge during the Pentagon Papers trial that a White House-sponsored attempt had been made to take Ellsberg files from the office of his psychiatrist, Dr. Lewis Fielding. The three

Also indicted by that grand jury was Egil (Bud) Krogh, who has since pleaded guilty to federal charges and is serving a six-month jail term. State charges against Krogh have been dropped.

See ANGLES, A8, Col. 6

ANGELES, From A1

The announcements were made here yesterday in a joint statement by Busch and Cox He points. statement by Busch and Cox. He pointed out that the Watergate Special Prosecutor White House "plumbers," a mony given to the county special investigative unit set fice. Ehrlichman and Liddy are among six defendants which all of the defendants benamed in a federal indictment longed, was under investigalonged, was under investigation by his staff and "the federal interest in dealing with any possible illegal activities by White House employees is the interest in dealing with any possible illegal activities by White House employees is the interest in dealing with a provide a control of the interest in the interest i returned through Jaworski's tion by his staff and "the fed-office last Thursday in connecby White House employees is clearly predominate."

Cox had asked Los Angeles County to postpone return of the indictments until the federal grand jury had completed its probe, and the county had held up the indictments for more than two months after his request.

The statement issued yester-day referred briefly to the

against Young makes him the highest-ranking former White House official connected with Watergate-related affairs apparently to escape criminal prosecution. He was granted immunity by the federal grand The prospect of dual prose-cution growing out of the same incident had been raised Jury nere proming the pruni-bers unit and is expected to be a key government witness. While Young's role as a wit-

ness in this case could be compared to that of former White House aide John W. Dean III in the Watergate cover-up case, sources close to

had to plead guilty to one count as part of his deal with the prosecution.

The perjury count against grand jury that he had no prior knowledge of the Ellsberg break-in.

Ehrlichman acknowledged also said such a burglary could be legal if undertaken "national security" sons.

The request to drop charges against the three men is expected to be approved, since such procedures are routinely followed by judges.

Dropping of the charges also apparently makes moot the issue of President Nixon's possible testimony in Los Angeles in connection with the charges.

Ehrlichman's attorneys had subpoenaed the President as a defense witness in the burglary case, and a hearing had been set for Friday before D.C. Superior Court Chief Judge Harold H. Greene on Judge Harold H. Bresident as a defense witness of the burgland of the b whether the President could be compelled to testify.

(In Los Angeles, Superior Court Judge Gordon Ringer scheduled a Wednesday meet-ing with attorneys in the case to discuss the possible dismissal of the charges and the Nixon subpoena. His office said he would have no further com-ment at this time.) Ehrlichman and Liddy are

charged in the federal indict-ment with conspiracy to violate the rights of Dr. Fielding. cover-up case, sources close to Also charged here are former the case pointed out that Dean presidential counsel Charles

W. Colson and Miamians Ber nard L. Barker, Eugenio Mar-tine and Felipe De. Diego. The state indictment had

charged Ehrlichman, Young, Liddy and Krogh with bur-glary and conspiracy to com-mit burglary. There is no mit burglary. There is no charge of burglary under fed-tural crisis stems from ineferal statutes.