St. Clair Says President Will Submit to Questions

By ANTHONY RIPLEY

Special to The New York Time

WASHINGTON, March 6-President Nixon has agreed to turn over to the House committee considering impeachment all the documents and tape recordings that the White House

has sent to the special prosecutor, Leon Jaworski, the President's lawyer, James D. St. Clair, said today.

In addition, Mr. St. Clair lap in the following areas: said, the President is willing to submit to written questions from the House Judiciary Committee and, if needed, to an "interview" by a small number of committee members at the White House.

In his news conference tonight, Mr. Nixon called it a "very forthcoming offer" and said, speaking of himself in the third person, "The President will be glad to meet with members of the committee, under oath."

He said that such a meeting would be with Representative Peter W. Rodino Jr., Democrat of New Jersey, who is chairman the Judiciary Committee, and resentative Edward Hutch-

on of Michigan, ranking Reblican on the Committee.

"It is a goal for all of us," he said, "to get a prompt conclusion to this matter as soon as possible."

Among the material to be released to the committee, according to Mr. Nixon, are 19 tape recordings and about 700 made by the President in the pages of documents.

The President said that Mr. Jaworski had said that all the hand. However, Mr. Jaworski circumstances."

The special prosecutor did not receive all the information that he had requested from the White House, and among the 700 pages of documents and 17 tapes that he did receive are some the committee does not feel it needs.

¶In letters sent to the White ouse last week by the impeachment inquiry staff, documents and information were requested that had not been requested by or provided to the special prosecutor.

There are indications that the Watergate grand jury's secreet report, which is still in the Federal District Court, contains information that the impeachment inquiry has not requester but that the grand jury feels should be directed to its

feels should be directed to its attention.

Similar Nixon offers to meet with the two senior members of the Senate Watergate committee and with Mr. Jaworski for such "interviews" as those agreed to today have been made by the President in the past.

Mr. St. Clair said today's offer did not represent a change in "attitude or policy." Rather, he said, there is a change "in circumstances."

"The grand jury has spoken," "The grand jury has spoken," "Several of the defense law-"

Is attention.

Clients.

If the material goes to Congress, argued John J. Wilson, lawyer for H.R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman, both former top White House aides, it would get out to the press.

"Leaks up there are big enough to drive a truck through," he told Judge Sirica.

Jury's Action Questioned Jacob A. Stein, lawyer for the contents of the report and briefcase.

Went House it has evidence which seems to bear on this matter," offer of documents that it "may not be co-terminal" with the contents of the report and briefcase. The question of pretrial publicity, added, he is premadication how long his study might take before a decision was reached on the secret report and briefcase.

Several of the defense law-

material needed to conclude the in "attitude or policy." Rather, Watergate investigation was in he said, there is a change "in

Watergate investigation was in hand. However, Mr. Jaworski chas said that although he can bring indictments, further materials will still be needed from the White House.

Uncertainty about the acceptability of President Nixon's response to the request for information stems from the fact shability of President Nixon's action Page 34, Column 1

Tontinued on Page 34, Column 1

Tonti

on's current position.
"The President is prepared to turn over to the House committee all the material he furnished to the grand jury without limitation, and he will submit to written interrogatories and oral interviews, if desired,"

Mr. St. Clair said.

Lawyers for the seven men indicted last Friday in the "Watergate cover-up case" all opposed turning over the report and briefcase. All argued that that might create prejudicial pretrial publicity for their clients.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6 of the facts in the public press" committee "needs, requires and that there are instances of overlap in the following areas:

The special prosecutor did

Of the facts in the public press and about the secret report, but the White House would take no position about what should be done with the report.

We leave the matter in fixed in the Constitution of

"We leave the matter in your hands," he told the judge. On the subject of the briefcase, he said that the court had a more difficult job weighing "conflicting interests." But he said that it might be helpful for the court, in deciding what to do, to be aware of Mr. Nix-om's current position.

fixed in the Constitution of the United States."

Although individual rights must be protected, he said, the impeachment investigation is an overriding question. He added that the source of the court were irrelevant to the House. court were irrelevant to the House.

Backs Court's Power

Philip A. Lacovara, counsel r the special prosecutor. for the special prosecutor, argued that the court had the power to receive the special report and briefcase and should "exercise its powers and discretion to count the count the count that the count the cou cretion to grant the grand jury's request."

That request, though formally not stated, was apparently to turn the information over to

the House.
"It would be unthinkable in our system of Government for this court to hold that this