2 Groups Reported Investigating Possibility of Perjury by Gray

By JOHN M. CREWDSON Special to The New York Tim

WASHINGTON, Feb. 25—the death of the bureau's first Washington, Feb. 25—cutor and a Senate subcommittee are reportedly investigating the possibility that L. Patrick Gray 3d committed perjury when he denied, during hearings last year on his nomination to become director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, any knowledge of F.B.I. wiretaps on newsmen and Government officials.

After the first published reports of the wiretap effort last February, Mr. Gray told the Senate Judiciary Committee, which was holding the confirmation hearings, that he had inquired and found "no record of any such business."

The New York Times reportedly flower on Feb. 17, 1973. After the first published reports of the wiretap effort last February, Mr. Gray told the Senate Judiciary Committee, which was holding the confirmation hearings, that he had inquired and found "no record of any such business."

The New York Times reportedly state of the wiretap effort last february, 1971, wiretape flower at the first published reported last December, however, that a copy of the F.B.I. document it had obtained showed that Mr. Gray, while acting director of the F.B.I. had been advised in advance of his testimony of the surveillance effort, which was defunct by then but had included nearly 20 wiretaps over a two-year period.

Sources close to the special prosecutor's investigation and the one by a Senate Judiciary Committee subcommittee that is headed by Senator Edward M. Kennedy, Democrat of Massachusetts, are directed at a gossible evetual prosecution of Mr. Gray for having committed perjury before the Senate.

Mr. Gray, who had been acting director of the F.B.I. since operation before then.