U.S. Studies Removal

By Susanna McBee Washington Post Staff Writer

The Justice Department suggested yesterday that a President might be impeached for a noncriminal offense, but that if he is, the charge must center on a "gross abuse of office" and not simply "maladministration."

That implication emerged from two sections of a four-part study and an "overview" which the department's office of legal counsel has been working on since last October.

Assistant Attorney General Robert G.

Dixon, who heads the office, stressed that the study "does not reach conclusions or propose solutions."

Rather, he said, it is "a general academic discussion" without reference to any current charges involving President Nixon. "It is resource material," he said

Nixon. "It is resource material, he said of the 146-page document.

The language of the Justice Department study is more restrictive than that of a House Judiciary Committee staff study, released Thursday, which says clearly that "impeachable conduct need not be criminal." But the department's

See STUDY, A7, Col. 5

STUDY, From A1

statement takes care not to rule out that conclusion.

Like the committee study, the Justice Department report leaves open the quesordinates or impeached for firing Special Watergate Prosecutor Archibald Cox last October.

Again, the department's language seems more restrictive than the committee's on these issues and indicates that both might fall into the category of "maladministration."

Several hours after the deartment's study was released, Dixon heatedly denied a wire service report contending that it was part of a White House attack on the committee's analysis.

"That's simply incorrect," he said. "The White House looked at our study but suggested no changes."

His comment squared with

respects."

For several weeks department officials have said privately they wanted the study to come out before the committee issued its report so that tion of whether a President their study would not appear may be held responsible for to be a counter proposal. Earthe criminal acts of his sub- lier this month, Justice sent an 82-page "overview" to the White House and to the new Watergate Special Prosecutor, Leon Jaworski. But several

But several factors prevented earlier release of the study. Some department officials, including Dixon and Solicitor General Robert H. Bork, thought it needed addi-tional work. Boh Attorney General William B. Saxbe and the White House wanted the House Judiciary Committee to ask for a copy before publication, but Committee Chairman Peter W. Rodino (D-N.J.) pointedly refused, indicating his feeling that inpeachment is the sole responsibility of

Congress.
Saxbe was reported to be

partment study "but not in all | tice Department sent a cour- offender as to secure the tesy copy to Rodino.

A department source said Sabe, who was out of town yesterday, will decide next week when the overview and two remaining parts of "The Law of Impeachment" study will be made public.

The departmental study says that if one reads only the language of the Constitution, a person could conclude that a criminal offense solely may be grounds for impeachment. Citing all relevant clauses, the study says that they "suggest the need for a criminal of-fense, although, of course, they do not expressly forbid an additional noncriminal penumbra."

But, the study continues, "as soon as one turns to the back-ground of the impeachment clause and the precedents set under it, the matter becomes far more complicated. There are historical precedents and writing showing a broad definition" of what constitutes an contention during the impeachable offense.

Referring to the nation's

- INMAN . AIII 12744 . CROIMA . MALIETE: A . CARALIER . TREAM

State." The study added that "under this hypothesis, one can conceive of serious abuses of power which have not been made crimes" as grounds for impeachment.

But the Justice Department report stressed that "the feeling of many citizens and many members of Congress is that impeachment of a President is, if anything, more serious than an ordinary criminal trial, 'an almost parricidal act,' and that strict standards should be applied." The reference to parricide, or murder of one's relative, is a quotation from an impeachment study by Harvard Law Professor Raoul Berger.

The study said the framers of the Constitution, in making "high crimes and misdemeanors" grounds for impeacment, "meant something narrower than

Nothing James Madison's contention during the constitutional convention that a term as vague as maladminis-His comment squared with an earlier statement by White House press secretary Ronald L. Ziegler, who said that the President's chief lawyer, James D. St. Clair, agreed "in the main" with the Justice De-