Milk Group's Aid to Nixon Reportedly Hidden in '72

By NICHOLAS GAGE

tions for Republican Congres-sional condidates was diverted The fact in 1972 to the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President mittees was disclosed previous-

paign Committee, but that ultimately \$200,000 of it had been Continued on Page 12, Column 6

At least \$200,000 in contribu-|diverted to Mr. Nixon's own

The fact that milk producers

in 1972 to the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President as part of an elaborate scheme to "launder" money promised by milk producers for President Nixon's campaign, according to Congressional sources.

The sources said that the Senate Watergate committee had been investigating the transactions involving the money and late last month described the transactions to a group of Republicans connected with the campaign organizations that originally received the funds.

The Republicans running for the Senate and the House of Republican Senate and the House of Republican National Finance Committee had "no relation" to each other, he said.

The President was assumed that all by C-TAPE to the two committees and the money the committees sent to the Republican National Finance Committee was no money diverted," Mr. Nunn said. "The money from the milk people went to the Congressional committees for Republican candidates. The money the Congressional committees sent to the Republican Conmittee was no money diverted," Mr. Nunn said. "The money from the milk people went to the Congressional committees for Republican Conmittees and the money the committees and the money the committees and the money the committees and the money committees and the money the committees sent to the Republican Conmittees and the money the committees and the money the

the funds.

The Republicans were told that \$300,000 had been contributed to two organizations, the Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee and the Republican Congressional Campaign Committee, but that ultimately \$200,000 for the but that \$200,000 for the but campaign, according to a Sen-

ate source.

In addition to the initial \$300,000 that C-TAPE gave the Republican Senatorial and Congressional committees, it also made a payment of \$25,000 to

the two organizations. After the two organizations sent \$221,-000 to the Republican National Finance Committee, \$104,000 remained from C-TAPE's contribution for distribution to individual Parklish terror to the transfer of dividual Reublican candidates, the Congressional sources said.

At about the same time that C-TAPE made the contributions to the two Republican committees, it also gave \$109,500 to the House Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee gressional Campaign Committee and the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee.

The route and timing of all these contriutions meant that C-TAPE was able to conceal the last-minute gifts until after the Nov. 7 election and to conceal from the public the actual recipients of the money.

pients of the money.

The four Congressional finance committees that received the contributions had to disclose later, on March 10, the names of recipients to whom they gave funds. But the milk contributions were comingled with other gifts to the committees and could not be traced specifically to individual candidates.

traced specifically to individual candidates.

It was only after the milk industry official told the Watergate committee that new funds had gone to Mr. Nixon's campaign that it was able to determine the diversion of money from the Republican Congressional committees, sources said.

ment originally rejected a price said. increase that year, but its order was reversed two days after sent contributions of \$150,000 the dairymen's meeting at the White House.

On Oct. 27, 1972, C-TAPE sent contributions of \$150,000 the dairymen's meeting at the each to the Republican Congressional and Senatorial cam-

White House.

In mid-October of 1972, Lee Nunn, a major Presidential fund-raiser and former White House aide, visited George L. Mehren, general manager of the Associated Milk Producers, Inc., a large Texas-based cooperative of dairymen, in San Antonio and asked for more money from the dairy industry than had been contributed up to that time.

The milk producers had reportedly promised \$2-million in contributions to the President's campaign at the time the industry was seeking an increase in the price level for milk. Available records show that four political organizations connectived to the three major milk cooperatives inclusives and seems and Senatorial campaign committees.

Between Oct. 31 and Nov. 7, the Republican Congressional Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Committee sent \$120,000 to the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional According to the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional Campaign Committee sent \$101, 000 and the Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

The Republican Congressional Campaign Committees.

The Republican Senatorial campaign committees.

ed to the three major milk cooperatives, including AssociMr. Mehren. He said that when
operatives, including AssociMr. Mehren told him that the
milk industry was not willing
to make further contributions
to the President's campaign, he

Mr. Mehren allegedly told Mr. Nunn that further contributions to the President's cambaign would increase the adverse publicity the milk industry had received for its initial contributions be made to the President's campaign, he proposed that contributions campaign, he proposed that contributions the President's campaign, he proposed that contributions and to the President's campaign, he proposed that contributions and the President's campaign, he proposed that contributions to the President's campaign, he proposed that contributions be made to other Republican campaign, he proposed that contributions be made to other Republican campaign, he proposed that contributions be made to other Republican campaign, he proposed that contributions be made to other Republican campaign with the proposed that contributions be reached for comment.

Asked if he suggested that contributions be made to other Republican campaign with the proposed that contributions be reached for comment.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6 C-TAPE, make contributions to Congressional fund-raising committees instead, the sources

contributions.

Republican Senatorial and ConMr. Nunn then proposed that gressional committees, Mr.

Associated's political arm, the Nunn said: "I might have menCommittee for Thorough Agricultural Political Education, or remember."