Strauss to Press Changes in

By David S. Broder Washington Post Staff Writer

vesterday he has decided to ask for substantial changes in the party's new delegate-selection reforms and the expansion of the committee that the party's new delegate-selection of some its enforcement sign of the committee that the powers. sion of the committee that is powers. supposed to enforce them.

Strauss said he had deter-

two-day meeting is to act on as well. recommended rules Baltimore City Councilwoman tive

Bartimore City Councilwoman tive committee on Thursday
Barbara Mikulski.

The dispute is a carryover tee on Friday. But Strauss
from the bitter "quotas" battle said, "I believe we can show
at the 1972 Democratic con-people we can vote on oppovention. The Mikulski com-site sides and disagree and
mission, appointed in 1973, still walk out with a common
scrapped "quota" requirethrust."

ments for blacks, youths and
the fighting
will be may be influenced by ments for blacks, youths and. How serious the fighting women, and substituted an will be may be influenced by seek out participation by those Wednesday with Ms. Mikulski

the affirmative actions plans Seith. are actually carried out.

Reform elements of the ommendations intact.

But Strauss said he had con- them." cluded after a canvass of party leaders, including representatives of the leading 1976 presidential hopefuls, that "the idea that to touch this docu-

ment is to destroy it won't sell."

Democratic National Chair In an interview, the party man Robert S. Strauss said chairman said he would rec-

He also said there was a "serious division" of opinion mined to take on the fight at on the proportional representhe meeting of the Democratic tation requirements in the National Committee and its new delegate selection process executive committee Thursday and said he had gotten "unand Friday "even if I take shirted hell" from state party some scars."

The main business of the tions of the proposed rules

What it adds up to is the changes agreed to last Decemprospect of another pair of ber by a committee headed by stormy sessions—in the execucommittee on Thursday

"affirmative action plan" pro-the outcome of a meeting cedure for state parties to Strauss has scheduled for and other groups.

It also recommended creher commission, Gary, Ind., draft ation of a 17-member complimator of a 17-member complimator Richard G. Hatcher A and Chicago attorney Alex representations of the efficient time of the commission of the

He hopes to convince Ms. Mikulski and the others that tampering with their product party have been pressing for "tampering with their product adoption of the Mikulski rec- is not an effort to destroy the reforms, but to improve

Strauss made the same pitch



ROBERT S. STRAUSS ... expects "scars"

son, Edward M. Kennedy, Edmund S. Muskie, Walter F. Mondale, George McGovern and Lloyd Bentsen.

Party sources who disclosed the Friday meeting said that representatives of the five senators and Gilligan had not endorsed Strauss's proposals but had indicated general sympathy with the idea of revising Mikulski commission draft.

At an earlier meeting with a representive of Alabama's Gov. George C. Wallace, Strauss was given Wallace's recommendations for changes in the proposed rules.

One major focus of criticism from state party officials is the proposed 17-person compliance committee.

mittee, with the other seven slots filled by Ms. Mikulski, Hatcher, Seith and one representative each of Democratic governors, senators, representatives and staet party chairmen.

Strauss said he would urge that the committee be expanded to 23 or 25 members in order to "give security to various constituencies in the party that their interests will be protected.

Blacks, chicanos, elements of organized labor and state officials have complained pub-licly about Ms. Mikuiski's appointees, and William France, a Wallace leader from Florida, said yesterday that Wallaceites would oppose any scheme that would "let nine appointed people act as king-makers." (The "nine people" refers to a majority of the 17member committee.)

Strauss said in the interview that he knew some reform elements would view any pro-posal to expand the committee as and effort by him "to take it over," but he denied that was his motivation.

"If I just watned control," he said, "I could get it now. 'I could have a hell of a lot more control with a 17-member committee than with 23 or 25.

The party chairman that, rather than simply propose an increase in the committee's size, he would give executive committee names of specific pecple he thought should be asked to serve on the expanded complicance body. He declined to make public his choices, saying he was still seeking agree-

Reforms

In addition to expanding the size of the compliance committee, Strauss said he would propose several important changes in the description of its powers, in response to complaints from state officials and some of the 1976 presidential hopefuls.

One basic change would restrict the mandate of the committee, by changing language that now says it should "administer and enforce affirmative a c t i o n requirements" to read that it should simply "administer" those requirements.

Another set of changes would shift the burden of proof of alleged shortcomings in the affirmative action plans from the state party organizations to the challenging group.

A third major revision would eliminate the authority of the party executive committee to "form a delegation" from a state whose own convention delegation is judged to violate the party rules.

Strauss said these changes were designed to meet complaints from state party chairmen that they woud be "in perpetual jeopardy" of chal-

lenges for a full two years before the national convention. and to meet the fears of some presidential candidates that the compliance committee, if controlled by a rival contender, could deprive them of delegates they have won in state conventions or primaries.

Presidential politics has also entered the dispute over proportional representation. The Mikulski commission recommended that states using caucus-convention systems of selecting national convention delegates be required to apply proportional representation down to the precinct level for any candidate who is supported by at least 10 per cent of those in attendance.

Wallace backers favor proportional representation, with a even lower 5 per cent cutoff, but supporters of several other candidates want to re-strict it to congressional dis-trict conventions and raise the cutoff point to 25 or even 35 per cent.

Strauss said in the interview that he thought a possible compromise might be reached on a 15 per cent cutoff and an application of the rule to the next level below that at which national convention delegates

are chosen in each state.
Since most of the non-primary states use a three-tier system, that would mean that proportional representation proportional representation would not be used at the lowest level of delegate selection.

National committee aides said that even if Strauss's proposed revisions are made. enormous changes would be required in the rules and legislation governing most state Democratic parties. Six of the delegate-selection proposed rules would require alteration of the rules or laws of more than two-thirds of the states, nd two rules would require hanges in every state.