# NYTimes JAN 1 2 1974 A MILITARY 'RING' LINKED TO SPYING ON WHITE HOUSE

Plumbers Reportedly Found Plot to Inform Pentagon of Secret China Talks

By SEYMOUR M. HERSH Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 11-The White House investigative unit known as the plumbers uncovered evidence in late 1971 that a "ring" of military officers was attempting to relay highly classified information on the China talks and other matters to officials in the Pentafon, well-informed sources re-

Some of the officials were assigned to the National Security Council.

The secret inquiry, headed by David R. Young Jr., then a codirector of the plumbers, was said to have determined that at least two military officers had participated in apparently illegal activities—including the ransacking of classified files and the unauthorized photocopying of documents-in an apparent attempt to keep high Pentagon officials up-to-date on White House negotiations.

## Nixon Concern Recalled

It was this investigation, re-

Young and Henry A. Kissinger, any public dissemination of now the Secretary of State and then head of the National Secularity and India-Pakistan documents. rity Council, suspected that rerity Council, suspected that reports on the White House's Another group, which innegotiations with China, North
Vietnam and the Soviet Union
were being leaked to Secretary
of Defense Melvin R. Laird and
Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, chair
M.S.C." One source said that
men of the Joint Chiefs of one of the military men work. Staff.

Admiral Reported Reassigned

The highest ranking officer reassigned, sources said, was; Rear Adm. Robert O. Welander, who was then serving as the liaison officer between the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Security Council.

Neither Admiral Welander nor Mr. Laird, now a White House aide, could be reached today, but Admiral Moorer denounced the allegation that he had received classified information through indirect channels. as "ludicrous."

During Mr. Young's investigation, sources said, he was Continued on Page 17, Column 1

Continuel From Page I, ol. 5

ordered to report periodically to Gen. Alexander M. Haig Jr., then Mr. Kissinger's key deputy in the National Security Council and now the White House chief of staff. This was the first indication that Mr. Young, a former aide to Mr. Kissinger, maintained a professional relationship with the council while serving with the plumbers. Mr. Kissinger had repeatedly denied any knowledge of the plumbers' activities.

An account of the secret White House investigation was initially published today by The Chicago Tribune, which said that the case was the "mysterious" national security matter so often cited by President Nixon to justify his decision to set up the plumbers in mid-1971.

Although no charges were gation, however, had previously filed formally, the sources established that there was a wide diversity of opinion A New York Times investiadded, as many as six military wide diversity of opinion men were reassigned after the investigation. accuracy of the plumbers' findings.

It was this investigation, reliable sources said, that has been repeatedly cited by President Nixon as the "national security" matter that justified his initial attempt last spring to limit the Justice Department's investigation of the plumbers.

The sources said that Mr. made between the officers and Young and Henry A. Kissinger, any public dissemination of

### Another Viewpoint

Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, chair 18.5.C. One source said that man of the Joint Chiefs of one of the military men working in the council was "actually Details of the negotiations going through other people's

Details of the negotiation: files,"
were officially limited to a few officials in the White House ever, that Mr. Young's inquiry and the National Security into possible wrongdoing inside the Security Council did not begin until late 1971, after the publication of the Anderson is continuing."

sider adequate or the Attorney General considers adequate to take to court.'

Inquiry Continuing "You can be sure that the investigation is continuing."

earlier.
The Times investigation also

The Times investigation also determined that those few officials who were apprised of the National Security Council inquiry were divided about the propriety of keeping it secret.

For example, details of the plumbers inquiry were provided at a secret briefing last summer to Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., Democrat of North Carolina, and Howard H. Baker Jr., Republican of Tennessee. The two ranking members of the Senate Watergate committee reportedly agreed subsequently to keep the information secret, although Mr. Baker said secret, although Mr. Baker said publicly at the time that he was doing so only at the specific request of the White

Some White House advisers had reportedly urged that details of the N.S.C. inquiry be made public last year, apparently to buttress the White House's contention that significant national security. cant national security issues were at stake in the plumbers activities. But the advocates activities. But the advocates of disclosure were overruled by a faction headed by J. Fred Buzhardt Jr., the White House counsel, who was then deeply involved in Watergate matters.

#### Had Other Roles, Too

But it was Mr. Buzhardt, sources said, who was also responsible for coordinating the Pentagon's activities in connection with the plumbers investigation in late 1971. At that time, Mr. Buzhardt was the general counsel of the Department of Defense.

White House and Justice Department officials who have been fully appraised of the plumbers inquiry agreed in interviews, however, that the questions about the military's activities inside the National Security Council needed to be explored in public.

"Obviously," one senior official said, "this kind of information should be investigated. It isn't something that should be adjudicated inside the Washington rumor mill."

The official's point was that not much had ben proved about White House and Justice De-

not much had ben proved about the activities—and the intent of the military men involved in some of the incidents in late 1971.

One clue to the incomplete One clue to the incompleteness of the plumbers inquiry was provided by President Nixon at a news conference on Feb. 10, 1972, three months after publication of the India-Pakistan papers by Mr. Ander-

gapers, and could not have Mr. Nixon said. "If the investi-been involved, as reported, in gation gets a break which pro-Mr. Nixon's decision to set up the plumbers unit six months which will stand up in court, we will present it. But we cannot go to court on circumstantial evidence"

ve days later, Mr. Anderson publicly declared that the White House, in effect had punished the wrong man by "banishing Admiral Welander from his Joint Chiefs of Staff position to sea duty. "I never talked to Welander," Mr. An-derson said. "He gave me derson said.

Admiral Welander was later reassigned to the Pentagon, and is now serving as assistant deputy chief of naval operations for plans and policy, considered to be a choice Navy assignment assignment.

In a telephone interview today from Chicago, Mr. Anderson reiterated his belief that "they did not get my source." "they did not get my source." He also ridiculed the plumbers' apparent belief that he had been provided the India-Pakistan papers by a member of the military. "The idea that somebody came and dropped those papers on me is crazy," the columnist said.

Sources said that a complicating factor in assessing the

cating factor in assessing the plumbers inquiry was that—as one well-informed official put it—"There were power struggles and paranoia all over the White House."

White House."
Mr. Kissinger was known to be deeply upset at the possibility of premature disclosure—both to the public and to the various involved agencies in the Government, such as the Pentagon and the State Department—of his diplomatic initiatives. The year 1971 was a banner year for the White House's secret initiatives involving the rapprochment with China and the secret strategic China and the secret strategic arms limitations talks with the

"What we need to know," one source said, "are answers to the following: To what extent was it a Pentagon scheme?

And who was behind it?"

If some of the allegations about military conduct eventually prove to be true, this offi-cial added, "then we had the rudiments of the kind of thing that leads to a military take over."