## WXPost JAN 1 2 1974 Disclosure On Wiretaps Is Ordered

By Timothy S. Robinson

Washington Post Staff Writer
U.S. District Court Judge Aubrey E. Robinson Jr. yesterday ruled that the federal government must disclose the nature and extent of wiretaps and electronic surveillance of several antiwar leaders and groups.

The government had con-tended that it was not com-pelled to disclose such information on national security grounds.

Although such orders are not unusual in criminal cases, Judge Robinson's decision marked the first time the government has been ordered to disclose such wiretap informa-

disclose such wiretap informa-tion in connection with a civil suit, according to an attorney familiar with wiretap cases. The order cannot be ap-pealed, but the government could file a motion asking the judge to reconsider his order or asking that the information he placed under seal once it is be placed under seal once it is given to the plaintiff, according to the attorney.

Leon Friedman, an American Civil Liberties Union attorney in New York, termed the order a "significant victory" on disclosure of wiretap information. Government attorneys could not be reached torneys could not be reached

for comment.

The ruling came in a suit filed 4½ years ago by eight persons charged with attempt-ing to disrupt the 1968 Democratic National Convention in Chicago and nine groups active in the antiwar movement.

See TAPS, A5, Col. 1

## TAPS, From A1

The suit was delayed while criminal charges against the eight were being litigated.

In his ruling filed yesterday. Judge Robinson resisted an attempt by the government to submit information concernsubmit information concerning the taps for his private examination so he could determine the merits of the com-

That proposal was "highly irregular and is nowhere contemplated or authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," Robinson said in a two-page order.

He said the plaintiffs are entitled to a "liberal discovery policy" under those rules unless there is a specific showing of legal privilege that was "not made here."

It was also inappropriate for the Justice Department to attempt to assert a national se- of the Omnibus Crime Act of curity defense and other legal 1968, which provides for payclaims on the merits at this ments to tapped persons of

rogatories, which are written questions submitted by the plaintiffs.
Those interrogatorics —

which the government must Education Fund, Catholic now answer within 30 days—Priests Fellowship and War ask for detailed information as to which plaintiffs were tapped, when they were tapped, how long the taps remained, who authorized the taps and the reasons such taps were deemed necessary.

In support of its refusal, the government referred to an affidavit by then Attorney General John N. Mitchell that was filed during the 1969 Chicago criminal trial saying that some of the defendants had been overheard on wiretaps. In the affidavit, Michtell said certain defendants:

Took part in conversations which were overheard by government agents who were monitoring wiretaps which are being employed to gather for-eign intelligence information concerning domestic organizations which seek to use force and other unlawful means to attack and subvert the existing structure of the govern-

At the time the civil suit was filed, it was described as a constitutional challenge against the Justice Depart-ment's then-new doctrine that wiretapping of certain domestic groups without judicial approval could be justified grounds of "national security."

According to one report at the time, the Chicago case was the first time the Justice Department had labeled domestic political groups with the "national security" tag that had traditionally been used to counter-intelligence iustify against foreign powers.

At least two other civil cases, filed by Pentagon Papers defendant Daniel Ells berg and former National Se-Council aide Morton Halperin, alleging illegal government wiretaps, are pending in U.S. District Court here.

The plaintiffs in all the cases are suing under sections

stage in the proceedings, he added.

The government had submitted a 43-page brief in defense of its refusal to answer interprocessing which are written and out of the complaint low. yesterday subsequently drop-ped out of the complaint, leaving as plaintiffs the Chicago Eight, the Black Panthers the Black 'Panthers Southern Conference Party,

Catholic Resisters League, according to court papers.

The eight persons plaintiffs in the case are David Dellinger. Rennie Davis, Tom Hayden, Jerry Rubin, Abbie Hoffman, Bobby Seale, John Froines and Lee Weiner.