## Duestions Nixon Tax Deduction

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM

Special to The New York Times

Watergate committee, declared er's arguments about the deduction, questions came from anof Mr. Nixon's Vice-Presidential papers before July 25, 1969.

Because of a change in the tax of a research group known as laws the President would not Tax Analysts and Advocates. laws, the President would not have been entitled to a deduc-tion if the papers had been given to the Archives aftert hat date.

Data Given to I.R.S.

Mr. Weicker refused to say directly that he thought Mr. Nixon should pay more taxes, saying that was a matter for the Internal Revenue Service. But he said that he had turned over his legal brief to the I.R.S., and he called for the agency to "take a fresh look" at the matter.

Most of the factual bases for Senator Weicker's argument have been published before. But the Senator's staff, which had been investigating Mr. Last weekend, the White House declared that Mr. Nixon's net worth when he enterd office in 1969 was \$307,141. Yet, at the time, Mr. Nixon's papers themselves were clearly worth more than that, since they were appraised later in 1969 at over half a million dollars.

Of the I,217 cubic feet of papers that were delivered to the Archives, only 392 cubic feet were donated as a gift, and Mr. Nixon retained control of the rest. But the value of these papers that are still own-

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Dec. 11—
Senator Lowell P. Weicker Jr. released today a detailed argument questioning whether President Nixon was legally entitled to deduct from his income taxes the value of his Vice-Presidential papers.

It was primarily that deduction of \$576,000, spread own courtesy storage."

It was primarily that deduction of \$576,000, spread own courtesy storage."

The LR.S. officials who adollars last year.

White House officials said last weekend that the President would allow the Congressional Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation to decide whether the deduction was a legitimate one.

The officials said that, were it not for the gift of the papers to the National Archives, Mr. Nixon would have owed an additional \$235,000 in taxes in the years 1969 to 1972.

Senator Weicker, a Connecticut Republican, who is the junior member of the Senate Watergate committee, declared?

Another Source of Criticism In addition to Senator Weicker was not gift."

All in the following:

GFrom the time that a truck-how from the june for papers arrived at the thouse said was over \$1-million.

A White House spokesman noting that Mr. Nixon had Archives, the crates of docurtesy storage."

The LR.S. officials who adollars last year.

The LR.S. officials who addited Mr. Nixon's tax returns last spring made no effort to get in touch with the Archives or the appraiser to validate that there had been a gift.

Before the July, 1969, cut-off date, the appraiser, Ralph Newman, had made only a ballpark estimate" that the gift was worth \$500,000. It was not until that November that Mr. Newman inspected the papers, selected about a third of them to be given to the archives and estimated their value of \$576,000.

Another Source of Criticism In addition to Senator Weick-last of the could not explain who are the following:

GFrom the time that a truck-horder at the following:

GFrom the time that a truck-horder at the following:

GFrom the time following:

GFrom the time that a truck-h

In addition to Senator Weicker's arguments about the deduc-

Ira L. Tannenbaum, director of a research group known as Tax Analysts and Advocates, noted that Mr. Nixon had never listed the Vice-Presidential papers on his statements of net worth

worth. Last weekend,

Nixon's finances for more than ed by Mr. Nixon were not intwo months, developed some cluded in his current statement new points. Among them were of net worth, which the White the following:

House said was over \$1-million.