Contradictions Arise In Nixon's Net Worth

By Lou Cannon Washington Post Staff Writer

President Nixon and his lawyers have given skeptical Republican congressmen an account of his personal finances that substantially contradicts previous presidential statements.

Mr. Nixon also has proposed letting a joint congressional committee headed by Rep. Wilbur Mills (D-Ark.) decide whether he acted properly in taking a \$570,000 income tax deduction for donating his vice presidential papers to the federal government.

Important sources on the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation said the committee will agree to Mr. Nixon's request. However, Democratic members of the committee were privately critical, saying that Mr. Nixon was engaging in a "diversion" on an issue where he apparatus

ently acted legally but showed questionable judgment.

Mr. Nixon's latest effort to explain his personal finances came in a private meeting at the White House on Monday night

with nine Republican leaders, all of them congressment except for GOP National Chairman George Bush. The President stayed for only 10 minutes, then left his attorneys, H. Chapman Rose of Cleveland and Kenneth W. Gemmill of Philadelphia, to answer questions for more than an hour in what one congressman called "a frank and free session that included more than a little profamity." more than a little profanity."

During the meeting Rose and Gem-mill gave Mr. Nixon's net worth as "in the neighborhood of \$300,000" on Jan. 1,

However, when Mr. Nixon was campaigning, his aides reported his net worth at \$515,830 on Sept. 30, 1968. On May 12, 1969, the White House reported the President net worth at \$596,000.

The figures given to the congressmen also contradict a statement made by the President to the Associated Press managing editors on Nov. 17 at Orlando, Fla. He

. . . When in 1968, I decided to become a candidate for President, I decided

See FINANCES, A21, Col. 1

FINANCES, From A1

to clean the decks and to put everything in real estate. I sold all my stock for \$300,000. That is al. I owned. I sold my apartment in New York for \$300,000.... And I had \$100, 000 coming to me from the law firm."

The figures given to the congressmen Monday nightand leaked to the Associated Press last Saturday by the White House — show that Mr. Nixon had \$59,752 in bank accounts on Jan. 1, 1969, and \$432,874 in various accounts by May 31, 1973.

However, Thomas Wake-

However, Thomas Wake-field, an officer of the Key Riscayne Bank owned by Mr. Nixon's close friend, C.G. (Bebe) Rebozo, said last week that Mr. Nixon purchased a \$100,000 certificate of deposit

at the bank soon after he sold | tial papers and for paying subhis New York apartment in stantial state property taxes. 1968 and has had it ever since. Monday, Mr. Nixon now has at the meeting that the Presi-\$250,000 in certificates of dedent make public his entire posit in the Rebozo bank.

in a savings account.

In their private session with the Nixon lawyers on Monday, the Republican congressional leaders were frankly skeptical that Mr. Nixon could reassure the American public about his finances simply by issuing summaries of his tax returns.

Rep. John Anderson of Illi-According to the figures pre- nois, the House Republican sented to the congressmen on Conference leader, suggested tax returns for these years. He also has \$148,860 in a Another suggestion came from checking account and \$27,444 Rep. John Rhodes of Arizona, who is scheduled to replace Vice President-designate Gerald R. Ford of Michigan as House Republican leader. Rhodes said he could understand why the President would want to keep his tax returns private But he said they

turns private. But he said they should be made available to a summaries of his tax returns.

The information presented to the congressmen showed that Mr. Nixon paid only \$4,-298 for 1972, \$878 for 1971 and \$789 for 1970—the years he claimed substantial deductions for donating his vice presiden—ments will be based.

White House press secretary the resale of adjoining prop-Ronald L. Ziegler denied last city to industrialist Robert night that there were any con-Abplanalp, who financed the some doubters."

Attending the meeting were cial statements. He said the information given the congressmen and the Associated Press a miniscule portion of the information that will be released this week" and added that the information would "clear up" any questions about Mr. Nixon's finances.

Ziegler reiterated the White House objection to "piecemeal disclosure" of the Nixon fi-

Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation will also be asked to reach a deci-

tradictions in the Nixon finan home purchase. Abplanalp wrote off his \$675,000 loan to

wrote off his \$675,000 loan to Mr. Nixon.

Rep. Anderson said that he thought the question involved in the tax deductions for the vice presidential papers was not necessarily a legal one.

"I think the lawyers feel confident they can pass public muster on this one," Anderson said. "However, there's a real question of the wisdom of a President reducing his taxes disclosure" of the Nixon finances, even though the White House itself has been the source of most of these disclosures.

The Joint Committee on Instandard"

question of the wisdom of a President reducing his taxes to practically zero. Everybody has to do his share—a President should be an exemplar, he should set a different standard."

Sen. John Tower of Texas said that reaction to the state-ments at the meeting were "mixed." He said that he and said that reaction to the state-ments at the meeting were ments at the meeting were mixed." He said that he and proper tax deductions on his home at San Clemente and on vania and Robert P. Griffin of

Attending the meeting were Vice President-designate Ford,

Rep. Leslie Arends of Illinois, Hampshire plus Scott, Griffin, Tower, Anderson, Rhodes and Bush: