Incumbents Given Edge In Campaign Donations

By Morton Mintz Washington Post Staff Writer



JOHN GARDNER . sees party monopoly

nomic interests contributed almost three times as much money to members of the House and Senate as to their challengers in last year's elections. Common Cause reported fow more than a competition — something fow more than a competition. tions, Common Cause reported yesterday.

The citizens' lobby said the

economic-interest groupsbankers, businessmen, dairymen, physicians and trade unionists, among others-gave incumbents seeking re-election

\$3.9 million, compared with \$1.4 million to challengers. The present system of private financing for congressional elections clearly supports a "monopolistic incumbency party," Common Cause

Groups with special eco-chairman John W. Gardner told a news conference.

few members of Congress have had to face," he said.

The House has before it a Senate-passed amendment to the debt-ceiling bill that provides public financing for presidential primaries and House and Senate general

elections. Gardner and an aide, Frederic Wertheimer, charged that House members, while possibly willing to fund presidential contests, are balking at public financing for their own prospective opponents but aren't candid about it. Instead, they said, House members are "hiding" behind technical objections to the pending meas-

"It seems to us that the House has misread the country," which will not settle for reforming merely presidential races, Gardner said. He criticized not only the House Democratic leadership, but also Mithe Vice President-designate. Ford and the White House have "done nothing" for public financing, Gardner said.

Gardner quoted the acting chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, Rep. Al Ullman (D-Ore.), as having warned colleagues Wednesday that public financing that public financing — which would provide \$90,000 to each House candidate in a general election — "could destroy every member of the House of Representatives."

Asked about this yesterday, Ullman said he meant to say that the House itself would be destroyed if each of its mem-bers had to be constantly looking over his shoulder at a sim-

Ing over his shoulder at a similarly financed opponent.

Members would be so caught up in "day-to-day local politics... that they simply would be unable to live up to their responsibilities in the Congress," Ullman told a reporter

Yesterday's Common Cause report, the third of a continuing series by the group's Cam-paign Monitoring Project, ana-lyzes contributions to congressional candidates by political

committees registered with the Clerk of the House and the Secretary of the Senate. The report supplements a September analysis by Com-mon Cause showing that congressional candidates in 1972, with incubents outspending challenges 2 to 1, expended \$10.6 million in primaries a 66.4 million in the November elec-

The new report shows that between April 7, 1972, when a strict disclosure law became effective, and election day, effective, and election day, registered political committees gave all congressional candidates in the general election \$13,235,385. The highlights:

lights:

• Business groups, such as the National Association of Manufacturers' BIPAC, gave \$1,708,189, 66 per cent of it to incumbents, 12 per cent to challengers and 22 per cent in races with no incumbents. However, these figures grossly utions because many execuutions because many execu-ution because many executives gave large sums as individuals; this was especially true for the oil industry. Business money went to Republicans over Democrats in a ratio of 2 to 1.

• Agriculture groups, dominantly milk producers, gave \$661,925, 57 per cent of it to incumbents, and health groups, such as the American Medical Association's \$947,395, 52 per cent of it to incumbents.

Labor groups, especially the AFL-CIO's COPE, the Teamsters' DRIVE and International Ladies Germent national Ladies Germent Workers Union units, gave \$3,633,108, 53 per cent to incumbents, 27 per cent to challengers and 20 per cent in races money went to Democrats in a top-heavy ratio of 19 to 1 \$3,454,822 vs. \$178,286).

• Miscellaneous interest groups gave \$1,499,123

groups gave \$1,499,123.
In addition to the \$8,449,740
received from all of the forereceived from all of the fore-going, Democratic and GOP campaign committees gave \$4,785,645; these committees, in turn, had received \$1,314,-174 from the interest groups, brining their grand total to \$9,763,914.