Parts 'Inaudible'

By Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward Washington Post Staff Writers

Portions of the seven White House tapes that President Nixon has agreed to turn over to the U.S. District Court here are "inaudible" and thus will probably fail to definitively answer questions about Mr. Nixon's role in the Watergate affair, according to White House sources.
The sources said the tapes may be of

The sources said the tapes may be of questionable evidentiary value in court because of defects variously described as "gaps in conversation," "unevenness," "excessive background noise," "periods of silence," and "cut-ins and cut-outs during conversation."

According to White House sources questioned over the past three days, there is serious concern among the President's aides and advisers that the latest

dent's aides and advisers that the latest problems regarding the tapes will further strain the credibility of the White House.

"This town is in such a state that everybody will say 'They've doctored the tapes,'" said one high-ranking presidential adviser who made clear he rejected that notion. "The problem is that these tapes are like all other home-made tapes: Some you can hear very well, some you

See WATERGATE, A9, Col. 1

WATERGATE, From A1

can't some are jumbled in parts, some are inaudible in parts—there is considerable unevenness."

Although the White House devices used to trip the tape-recording nism were voice-activated, another source said, the microphones used for remicrophones used for re-cording apparently failed to pick up some conversation. Previously, White House of-ficials had said the micro-phones were highly sensi-tive and capable of picking up even hushed conversa-

Of five sources who confirmed that defects have been found in the tapes, one said the problems are "of a suspicious nature." According to this source, some conversation on some of the tapes appears to have been erased or obliterated by the injection — inadvertent or otherwise — of background

The four other sources disputed that there is anything suspicious about the deficiencies and insisted the tapes are marred only by technical problems that can be satisfactorily explained in court.

An apparent reference to the problem of the quality of the tapes was made yesterday during a hearing before Judge John J. Sirica in

U.S. District Court when an aide to White House chief of staff Alexandria Haig spoke of "a gap" in one of the President's tapes.

The aide, John C. Bennett, testified that he had been told by the President's personal secretary, Rose Mary Woods, that she encoun-tered "a gap in what she expected to be a recorded conversation" she was reviewing for Mr. Nixon.

A new attorney on the

White House team of Water-gate lawyers, Samuel J. Powers, later told reporters that "I don't know of any gap" and said the descrip-tion by Bennett "could be a total misnomer as far as I'm concerned."

Most of the White House sources said they learned of the latest problems with the tapes only in the past week, although some persons-including President Nixon and former White House chief of staff H. R. Haldeman—lis-tened to portions of some of the recordings as long ago as Sept. 29, 1972, and April, 1973, respectively.

During his testimony before the Senate select Watergate committee,
Haldeman said he had listened to two of the tapes
that Mr. Nixon eventually
agreed to turn over to Judge Sirica and the Watergate grand jury. Asked about the quality of the tapes he heard, Haldeman testified on July 30:

"It's good at times and not good at times. It's the kind of tape recording you have in a large room, which the Oval Office is; there is a lot of echo and bounce. It's difficult to follow the conversation completely, but it is not by any means impossible."

Neither President Nixon nor White House spokes-men have publicly raised the possibility of portions of the tapes being inaudible.

In a July 23 letter in which he refused to turn over the tapes to the Senate committee, Mr. Nixon said that the "tapes would not finally settle the central issues before your committee," and added:

"Before their existence became publicly known, I personally listened to a number of them. The tapes are entirely consistent with what I know to be the truth and what I have stated to be the truth. However, as in verbatim recording of informal conversations, they contain comments that persons with different perspectives and motivations would inevitably interpret in different ways. Furthermore, there are inseparably inter-spersed in them a great many very frank and very private comments on a wide range of issues and individuals, wholly extraneous to the committee's inquiry."

The existence of the White House recording system was publicly revealed on July 16 in testimony before the Watergate committee by Alexander P. Butterfield a former side Water field, a former aide to Haldeman who is now the ad-ministrator of the Federal

Aviation Administration.
Butterfield testified that he had personally tested the system and then the following exchange took place:
Question: You tested the

oval Office or the EOB Office, that you could pick up sound even though it was hardly audible when a sound was made in the room?

Butterfield: Yes. Question: What was the result of your test?
Butterfield: The result

was that voices, conversations, were picked up very well, very clearly.

Question: Even if there was a whisper?

was a whisper:
Butterfield: I can't tell
you about a whisper: I just don't know. But it would appear that even low were picked up well. tones

compand with ste. Same